

# Asymptotics of multiple orthogonal polynomials for a system of two measures supported on a starlike set

A. López García\*

Department of Mathematics, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, TN 37240, USA

## Abstract

For a system of two measures supported on a starlike set in the complex plane, we study asymptotic properties of associated multiple orthogonal polynomials  $Q_n$  and their recurrence coefficients. These measures are assumed to form a Nikishin-type system, and the polynomials  $Q_n$  satisfy a three-term recurrence relation of order three with positive coefficients. Under certain assumptions on the orthogonality measures, we prove that the sequence of ratios  $\{Q_{n+1}/Q_n\}$  has four different periodic limits, and we describe these limits in terms of a conformal representation of a compact Riemann surface. Several relations are found involving these limiting functions and the limiting values of the recurrence coefficients. We also study the  $n$ th root asymptotic behavior and zero asymptotic distribution of  $Q_n$ .

**Keywords:** Higher-order recurrences, Nikishin systems, ratio asymptotics,  $n$ th root asymptotics, zero asymptotic distribution.

**2000 MSC:** 30C15, 31A15, 30E25.

## 1. Introduction and statement of main results

This work was motivated by recent investigations of Aptekarev et al. [2] on asymptotic properties of monic polynomials  $Q_n$  generated by the higher-order three-term recurrence relation

$$zQ_n = Q_{n+1} + a_n Q_{n-p}, \quad n \geq p, \quad p \in \mathbb{N}, \quad a_n > 0, \quad (1)$$

with initial conditions

$$Q_j(z) = z^j, \quad j = 0, \dots, p. \quad (2)$$

In [2], strong asymptotics of  $Q_n$  was studied assuming that the recurrence coefficients satisfy

$$\sum_{n=p}^{\infty} |a_n - a| < \infty, \quad a > 0. \quad (3)$$

An important element in the asymptotic analysis of the polynomials  $Q_n$  is the starlike set

$$\widetilde{S}_0 := \bigcup_{k=0}^p \exp(2\pi i k / (p+1)) [0, \alpha], \quad \alpha := [(p+1)/p^{p/(p+1)}] a^{1/(p+1)}.$$

In fact, [2, Theorem 7.2] asserts that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q_n(z)}{w_0^n(z)} = F_0(z), \quad \text{uniformly on compact subsets of } \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \widetilde{S}_0,$$

\*Current address: Department of Mathematics, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Celestijnenlaan 200B, B-3001, Leuven, Belgium. Telephone number: +32 16 327053. Fax number: +32 16 327998.

Email address: abey.lopezgarcia@wis.kuleuven.be (A. López García)

where  $w_0(z)$  is the unique branch of the algebraic equation  $w^{p+1} - zw^p + a = 0$  that is meromorphic at infinity and has an analytic continuation in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \widetilde{S}_0$ .

We remark that notable families of polynomials satisfy (1) in the constant coefficients case, for example the classical monic Tchebyshev polynomials  $T_n(x) = 2 \cos n(\cos^{-1}(x/2))$  for the segment  $[-2, 2]$  ( $p = 1, a_n = 1$  for all  $n$ ). More generally, it was shown by He and Saff [9] that the Faber polynomials associated with the closed domain bounded by the  $(p + 1)$ -cusped hypocycloid with parametric equation

$$z = \exp(i\theta) + \frac{1}{p} \exp(-p i \theta), \quad 0 \leq \theta < 2\pi, \quad p \geq 2,$$

are also generated by the recurrence relation (1) with constant coefficients  $a_n = a = 1/p$ , and their zeros are contained in  $\widetilde{S}_0$ . Many other properties of the zeros of these Faber polynomials were obtained in [9] and [6].

Using operator theoretic techniques, in [3] it was proved that the polynomials  $Q_n$  generated by (1)–(2) are in fact multiple orthogonal polynomials with respect to a system of  $p$  measures supported on

$$\bigcup_{k=0}^p \exp(2\pi i k / (p + 1)) [0, \infty).$$

Moreover, if (3) holds then the orthogonality measures have a specific hierarchy structure; they form a Nikishin-type system (see Section 8 and Theorem 9.1 in [2]). This system is the system of spectral measures of the banded Hessenberg operator (with only two nonzero diagonals) associated with (1).

In this paper we study, among other topics, ratio and  $n$ th root asymptotics of multiple orthogonal polynomials associated with a Nikishin-type system of two measures ( $p=2$ ) supported on a star, starting from assumptions on these measures of orthogonality. For simplicity we assume that these measures are given by weights. Under similar assumptions, analogous results can be obtained for general measures. We start with the definition of the orthogonality weights and the associated polynomials.

Let

$$S_0 := \bigcup_{k=0}^2 \exp(2\pi i k / 3) [0, \alpha], \quad 0 < \alpha < \infty.$$

We emphasize that  $\alpha$  is here arbitrary. Assume that  $s_1$  is a complex-valued function defined on  $S_0$ , such that

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &\geq 0 \quad \text{on} \quad (0, \alpha), \quad s_1 \in L^1(0, \alpha), \\ s_1(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} z) &= e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}} s_1(z), \quad z \in S_0 \setminus \{0, \alpha, e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} \alpha, e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}} \alpha\}. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Set

$$f(z) := z^2 \int_{-b}^{-a} \frac{s_2(t)}{z^3 - t^3} dt, \quad 0 < a < b < \infty,$$

where  $s_2$  is a non-negative integrable function defined on  $[-b, -a]$ . We assume of course that  $s_1(t) dt, s_2(t) dt \neq 0$ .

Let  $\{Q_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be the sequence of *monic* polynomials of lowest degree that satisfy the following conditions:

$$\int_{S_0} Q_{2n}(t) t^k s_1(t) dt = 0, \quad k = 0, \dots, n-1, \quad (5)$$

$$\int_{S_0} Q_{2n}(t) t^k f(t) s_1(t) dt = 0, \quad k = 0, \dots, n-1, \quad (6)$$

$$\int_{S_0} Q_{2n+1}(t) t^k s_1(t) dt = 0, \quad k = 0, \dots, n, \quad (7)$$

$$\int_{S_0} Q_{2n+1}(t) t^k f(t) s_1(t) dt = 0, \quad k = 0, \dots, n-1. \quad (8)$$

These are the polynomials whose algebraic and asymptotic properties we investigate.

**Proposition 1.1.** *The degree of each polynomial  $Q_n$  is maximal, i.e.  $\deg Q_n = n$ . Moreover, if  $n = 3j$ , then  $Q_n$  has exactly  $j$  simple zeros on the interval  $(0, \alpha)$ . If  $n = 3j + 1$ , then  $Q_n$  has a simple zero at the origin and  $j$  simple zeros on  $(0, \alpha)$ . Finally, if  $n = 3j + 2$ , then  $Q_n$  has a double zero at the origin and  $j$  simple zeros on  $(0, \alpha)$ . The remaining zeros of  $Q_n$  are located on the rays  $\exp(2\pi i/3)(0, \alpha)$ ,  $\exp(4\pi i/3)(0, \alpha)$ , and are rotations of the zeros on  $(0, \alpha)$ .*

**Proposition 1.2.** *The monic polynomials  $Q_n$  satisfy the following three-term recurrence relation*

$$zQ_n = Q_{n+1} + a_n Q_{n-2}, \quad n \geq 2, \quad a_n \in \mathbb{R}, \quad (9)$$

where

$$Q_j(z) = z^j, \quad j = 0, 1, 2. \quad (10)$$

The coefficients  $a_n$  are given by the formulas

$$a_{2n} = \frac{\int_0^\alpha t^n Q_{2n}(t) s_1(t) dt}{\int_0^\alpha t^{n-1} Q_{2n-2}(t) s_1(t) dt}, \quad a_{2n+1} = \frac{\int_0^\alpha t^n Q_{2n+1}(t) f(t) s_1(t) dt}{\int_0^\alpha t^{n-1} Q_{2n-1}(t) f(t) s_1(t) dt}. \quad (11)$$

Moreover,  $a_n > 0$  for all  $n \geq 2$ .

Propositions 1.1 and 1.2 are proved in Section 2. Let

$$\Psi_n(z) := \int_{S_0} \frac{Q_n(t)}{t-z} s_1(t) dt.$$

The functions  $\Psi_n$  (usually called *functions of second type*) satisfy:

$$\begin{cases} \Psi_n \in H(\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S_0), \\ \Psi_{2n}(z) = O(1/z^{n+1}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \\ \Psi_{2n+1}(z) = O(1/z^{n+2}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty. \end{cases} \quad (12)$$

It is important for our analysis to determine the exact number of zeros of  $\Psi_n$  outside  $S_0$ , and their location. The following result, proved in Section 3, gives the answers to these questions.

**Proposition 1.3.** *For each  $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 5\}$ , the function  $\Psi_{6l+j}$  has exactly  $3l$  simple zeros in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S_0$ , of which  $l$  zeros are located in  $(-b, -a)$ , and the remaining  $2l$  zeros are rotations of these  $l$  zeros by angles of  $2\pi/3$  and  $4\pi/3$ ;  $\Psi_{6l+j}$  has no other zeros in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S_0$ . The function  $\Psi_{6l+4}$  has exactly  $3l + 3$  simple zeros in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S_0$ , of which  $l + 1$  zeros are located in  $(-b, -a)$ , and the remaining  $2l + 2$  zeros are rotations of these  $l + 1$  zeros by angles of  $2\pi/3$  and  $4\pi/3$ ;  $\Psi_{6l+4}$  has no other zeros in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S_0$ .*

**Notation:** Let  $Q_{n,2}$  denote the monic polynomial whose zeros coincide with the zeros of  $\Psi_n$  in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S_0$ .

The following result asserts that for consecutive values of  $n$ , the zeros of  $Q_n$  interlace, and the same is true for the zeros of  $Q_{n,2}$ .

**Theorem 1.4.** *For every  $n \geq 0$ , the polynomials  $Q_n$  and  $Q_{n+1}$  do not have any common zeros in  $S_0 \setminus \{0\}$ . Moreover, there is exactly one zero of  $Q_{n+1}$  between two consecutive zeros of  $Q_n$  in  $(0, \alpha)$ . Conversely, there is exactly one zero of  $Q_n$  between two consecutive zeros of  $Q_{n+1}$  in  $(0, \alpha)$ .*

*Additionally, for every  $n \geq 0$ , the functions  $\Psi_n$  and  $\Psi_{n+1}$  do not have any common zeros in  $S_1$ . There is exactly one zero of  $\Psi_{n+1}$  between two consecutive zeros of  $\Psi_n$  in  $(-b, -a)$ , and vice versa.*

Theorem 1.4 is proved in Section 4. We can determine exactly how the zeros of  $Q_n$  interlace, thanks to the fact that the recurrence coefficients  $a_n$  are all positive (see Proposition 4.2 in Section 4).

We next describe the ratio asymptotics of the polynomials  $Q_n$  and  $Q_{n,2}$ , and the limiting behavior of the recurrence coefficients  $a_n$ . By Propositions 1.1 and 1.3, for some polynomials  $P_n$  and  $P_{n,2}$  we may write:

$$Q_{3k}(\tau) = P_{3k}(\tau^3), \quad Q_{3k+1}(\tau) = \tau P_{3k+1}(\tau^3), \quad Q_{3k+2}(\tau) = \tau^2 P_{3k+2}(\tau^3), \quad (13)$$

$$Q_{n,2}(\tau) = P_{n,2}(\tau^3). \quad (14)$$

Let

$$S_1 := \bigcup_{k=0}^2 \exp(2\pi i k/3) [-b, -a]. \quad (15)$$

**Theorem 1.5.** Assume that  $s_1 > 0$  a.e. on  $[0, \alpha]$  and  $s_2 > 0$  a.e. on  $[-b, -a]$ . Then, for each  $i \in \{0, \dots, 5\}$ , the following limits hold:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{6k+i+1}(z)}{P_{6k+i}(z)} = \widetilde{F}_1^{(i)}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \alpha^3], \quad (16)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{P_{6k+i+1,2}(z)}{P_{6k+i,2}(z)} = \widetilde{F}_2^{(i)}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-a^3, -b^3], \quad (17)$$

where convergence is uniform on compact subsets of the indicated regions. Moreover (cf. (9)),

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{6k+i} = \begin{cases} -C_1^{(i)}, & \text{for } i \in \{0, 1, 3, 4\}, \\ -C_0^{(i)}, & \text{for } i \in \{2, 5\}, \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

where

$$\widetilde{F}_1^{(i)}(z) = \begin{cases} 1 + C_1^{(i)}/z + O(1/z^2), & \text{for } i \in \{0, 1, 3, 4\}, \\ z + C_0^{(i)} + O(1/z), & \text{for } i \in \{2, 5\}, \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

is the Laurent expansion at  $\infty$  of  $\widetilde{F}_1^{(i)}$ . Consequently, the limits

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q_{6k+i+1}(z)}{Q_{6k+i}(z)} = z \widetilde{F}_1^{(i)}(z^3), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S_0, \quad i \in \{0, 1, 3, 4\}, \quad (20)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q_{6k+i+1}(z)}{Q_{6k+i}(z)} = \frac{\widetilde{F}_1^{(i)}(z^3)}{z^2}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S_0, \quad i \in \{2, 5\}, \quad (21)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q_{6k+i+1,2}(z)}{Q_{6k+i,2}(z)} = \widetilde{F}_2^{(i)}(z^3), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S_1, \quad i \in \{0, \dots, 5\}, \quad (22)$$

hold uniformly on compact subsets of the indicated regions.

We also describe in Proposition 5.8 (Section 5) the ratio asymptotic behavior of the functions of second type  $\Psi_n$ , as well as the ratio asymptotic behavior of the polynomials  $p_n, p_{n,2}$  defined in (106) (these polynomials are “orthonormal versions” of the polynomials  $P_n, P_{n,2}$  defined in (13)–(14), see Proposition 5.3) and their leading coefficients.

Several relations can be established among the limiting functions  $\widetilde{F}_1^{(i)}, \widetilde{F}_2^{(i)}$ , and the limiting values of the recurrence coefficients (see also the boundary value properties described in Proposition 5.5).

Let us define

$$a^{(i)} := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{6k+i}, \quad 0 \leq i \leq 5.$$

**Proposition 1.6.** The following relations among the functions  $\widetilde{F}_j^{(i)}$  are valid:

$$\widetilde{F}_1^{(2)}(z) = z \widetilde{F}_1^{(0)}(z), \quad \widetilde{F}_1^{(5)}(z) = z \widetilde{F}_1^{(3)}(z), \quad (23)$$

$$\widetilde{F}_1^{(0)} \widetilde{F}_1^{(1)} = \widetilde{F}_1^{(3)} \widetilde{F}_1^{(4)}, \quad \widetilde{F}_1^{(1)} \widetilde{F}_1^{(2)} = \widetilde{F}_1^{(4)} \widetilde{F}_1^{(5)}, \quad \widetilde{F}_1^{(2)} \widetilde{F}_1^{(3)} = \widetilde{F}_1^{(5)} \widetilde{F}_1^{(0)}, \quad (24)$$

$$\frac{1 - \widetilde{F}_1^{(3)}}{1 - \widetilde{F}_1^{(0)}} = \frac{a^{(3)}}{a^{(0)}}, \quad \frac{1 - \widetilde{F}_1^{(4)}}{1 - \widetilde{F}_1^{(1)}} = \frac{a^{(4)}}{a^{(1)}}, \quad \frac{z - \widetilde{F}_1^{(5)}(z)}{z - \widetilde{F}_1^{(2)}(z)} = \frac{a^{(5)}}{a^{(2)}}, \quad (25)$$

$$\widetilde{F}_2^{(0)} = \widetilde{F}_2^{(2)}, \quad \widetilde{F}_2^{(3)} = \widetilde{F}_2^{(5)}, \quad (26)$$

$$\widetilde{F}_2^{(0)}\widetilde{F}_2^{(1)} = \widetilde{F}_2^{(3)}\widetilde{F}_2^{(4)}, \quad \widetilde{F}_2^{(1)}\widetilde{F}_2^{(2)} = \widetilde{F}_2^{(4)}\widetilde{F}_2^{(5)}, \quad \widetilde{F}_2^{(2)}\widetilde{F}_2^{(3)} = \widetilde{F}_2^{(5)}\widetilde{F}_2^{(0)}. \quad (27)$$

Furthermore, the functions  $\widetilde{F}_1^{(i)}$ ,  $i \in \{0, \dots, 5\}$ , are all distinct, and the functions  $\widetilde{F}_2^{(i)}$ ,  $i \in \{0, 1, 3, 4\}$ , are also distinct. For every  $i \in \{0, \dots, 5\}$ ,  $a^{(i)} > 0$ , and the following relations hold:

$$a^{(0)} = a^{(2)}, \quad a^{(3)} = a^{(5)}, \quad a^{(0)} + a^{(1)} = a^{(3)} + a^{(4)}. \quad (28)$$

The following inequalities also hold:

$$a^{(0)} \neq a^{(3)}, \quad a^{(0)} \neq a^{(4)}, \quad a^{(1)} \neq a^{(3)}, \quad a^{(1)} \neq a^{(4)}.$$

In fact, we will show that  $a^{(4)} > a^{(1)}$ , and therefore (28) implies  $a^{(0)} > a^{(3)}$  (see Remark 6.2). Theorem 1.5 and Proposition 1.6 are proved in Section 5.

We next describe the limiting functions  $\widetilde{F}_j^{(i)}$  in terms of a conformal representation of a compact Riemann surface. Let  $\Delta_1 := [0, a^3]$ , and  $\Delta_2 := [-b^3, -a^3]$ . Consider the three-sheeted Riemann surface

$$\mathcal{R} = \overline{\mathcal{R}_0 \cup \mathcal{R}_1 \cup \mathcal{R}_2},$$

formed by the consecutively “glued” sheets

$$\mathcal{R}_0 := \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta_1, \quad \mathcal{R}_1 := \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus (\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2), \quad \mathcal{R}_2 := \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta_2. \quad (29)$$

Since  $\mathcal{R}$  has genus zero, there exists a unique conformal representation  $\psi$  of  $\mathcal{R}$  onto  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$  satisfying:

$$\psi(z) = -2z/a^3 + O(1), \quad z \rightarrow \infty^{(1)} \in \mathcal{R}_1, \quad (30)$$

$$\psi(z) = B/z + O(1/z^2), \quad z \rightarrow \infty^{(2)} \in \mathcal{R}_2, \quad B \neq 0. \quad (31)$$

Here  $-a^3$  is the right endpoint of  $\Delta_2$ . Let  $\{\psi_k\}_{k=0}^2$  denote the branches of  $\psi$ .

Finally, given an arbitrary function  $H(z)$  that has in a neighborhood of infinity a Laurent expansion of the form  $H(z) = Cz^k + O(z^{k-1})$ ,  $C \neq 0$ ,  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , we denote by  $\bar{H}$  the function  $H/C$ .

**Theorem 1.7.** *The following representations are valid:*

$$\begin{aligned} \widetilde{F}_1^{(0)} &= \frac{a^{(0)} - a^{(3)}}{a^{(0)}\widetilde{\psi}_0 - a^{(3)}}, & \widetilde{F}_1^{(1)} &= \frac{(a^{(4)} - a^{(1)})\widetilde{\psi}_0}{a^{(4)}\widetilde{\psi}_0 - a^{(1)}}, & \widetilde{F}_1^{(2)}(z) &= \frac{z(a^{(0)} - a^{(3)})}{a^{(0)}\widetilde{\psi}_0(z) - a^{(3)}}, \\ \widetilde{F}_1^{(3)} &= \frac{(a^{(0)} - a^{(3)})\widetilde{\psi}_0}{a^{(0)}\widetilde{\psi}_0 - a^{(3)}}, & \widetilde{F}_1^{(4)} &= \frac{a^{(4)} - a^{(1)}}{a^{(4)}\widetilde{\psi}_0 - a^{(1)}}, & \widetilde{F}_1^{(5)}(z) &= \frac{z(a^{(0)} - a^{(3)})\widetilde{\psi}_0(z)}{a^{(0)}\widetilde{\psi}_0(z) - a^{(3)}}, \\ \widetilde{F}_2^{(0)}(z) = \widetilde{F}_2^{(2)}(z) &= \frac{a^{(0)}(a^{(0)} - a^{(3)})z\widetilde{\psi}_0(z)\widetilde{\psi}_2(z)}{(a^{(0)} - a^{(3)})\omega_1^{(3)}\widetilde{\psi}_0(z)\widetilde{\psi}_2(z)/\omega_1^{(0)})(a^{(0)}\widetilde{\psi}_0(z) - a^{(3)}), \\ \widetilde{F}_2^{(3)}(z) = \widetilde{F}_2^{(5)}(z) &= \frac{a^{(0)}(a^{(0)} - a^{(3)})z\widetilde{\psi}_0(z)}{(a^{(0)} - a^{(3)})\omega_1^{(3)}\widetilde{\psi}_0(z)\widetilde{\psi}_2(z)/\omega_1^{(0)})(a^{(0)}\widetilde{\psi}_0(z) - a^{(3)}), \\ \widetilde{F}_2^{(1)} &= \frac{a^{(4)} - a^{(1)}}{\widetilde{\psi}_2(a^{(4)}\widetilde{\psi}_0 - a^{(1)})(\widetilde{\psi}_1 - (\omega_1^{(1)} - 1)/\omega_1^{(4)})}, & \widetilde{F}_2^{(4)} &= \frac{a^{(4)} - a^{(1)}}{(a^{(4)}\widetilde{\psi}_0 - a^{(1)})(\widetilde{\psi}_1 - (\omega_1^{(1)} - 1)/\omega_1^{(4)})}. \end{aligned}$$

The constants  $\omega_1^{(i)}$  are the reciprocals of the right-hand sides in the boundary value equations (133)–(135). They can be written in terms of the limiting values  $a^{(i)}$  as follows:

$$\omega_1^{(0)} = \omega_1^{(2)} = \frac{a^{(4)} - a^{(1)}}{a^{(0)}a^{(4)}}, \quad \omega_1^{(3)} = \omega_1^{(5)} = \frac{a^{(0)}}{a^{(0)} - a^{(3)}}, \quad \omega_1^{(1)} = \frac{a^{(4)}}{a^{(4)} - a^{(1)}}, \quad \omega_1^{(4)} = \frac{a^{(0)} - a^{(3)}}{(a^{(0)})^2}.$$

Using Theorem 3.1 from [11], we can easily describe the cubic algebraic equation solved by  $\psi$ . The coefficients of this equation can be computed exclusively in terms of the endpoints of the intervals  $\Delta_1$  and  $\Delta_2$ .

**Proposition 1.8.** *Let*

$$\lambda := \frac{2b^3}{a^3} - 1, \quad \mu := \frac{2\alpha^3}{a^3} + 1, \quad (32)$$

*and let  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  be the unique solutions of the algebraic system*

$$\begin{cases} 2(\beta + \gamma)(3 - \beta\gamma - \beta - \gamma)(3 - \beta\gamma + \beta + \gamma) + (\lambda - \mu)(\beta - \gamma)^3 = 0, \\ (\lambda + \mu)^2(\beta - \gamma)^6 = 4(3 + \beta\gamma)^3(1 - \beta\gamma)(2 + \beta + \gamma)(2 - \beta - \gamma), \end{cases}$$

*satisfying the conditions  $-1 < \gamma < \beta < 1$ . Then  $w = \psi(z)$  is the solution of the cubic equation*

$$w^3 + \left[ \frac{2z}{a^3} + 1 + \frac{3 + h + \Theta_2 - \Theta_1}{H(\beta)} \right] w^2 + \left[ \frac{4z}{a^3 H(\beta)} + \frac{2}{H(\beta)} + \frac{2 + 2h + \Theta_2 - 3\Theta_1}{H(\beta)^2} \right] w - \frac{2\Theta_1}{H(\beta)^3} = 0, \quad (33)$$

*where*

$$\begin{aligned} H(z) &= h + z + \frac{\Theta_1 z}{1 - z} + \frac{\Theta_2 z}{1 + z}, & h &= \frac{1}{4}(\beta + \gamma) \left( 2\beta\gamma - \frac{(\beta - \gamma)^2}{1 - \beta\gamma} \right), \\ \Theta_1 &= \frac{1}{4}(1 - c)(1 - d)(1 - \beta)(1 - \gamma), & \Theta_2 &= \frac{1}{4}(1 + c)(1 + d)(1 + \beta)(1 + \gamma), \end{aligned}$$

*$c$  and  $d$  are the solutions of the equation*

$$x^2 + (\beta + \gamma)x + \frac{(\beta - \gamma)^2}{1 - \beta\gamma} - 3 = 0,$$

*satisfying  $c < -1, d > 1$ .*

**Remark 1.9.** *Using (33) and Theorem 1.7, it is easy to check that*

$$a^{(0)} - a^{(3)} = -\frac{a^3 \Theta_2}{4 H(\beta)} = a^{(4)} - a^{(1)}.$$

Theorem 1.7 and Proposition 1.8 are proved in Section 6. We now describe the results on  $n$ th root asymptotics and zero asymptotic distribution for the polynomials  $Q_n$  and  $Q_{n,2}$ . First, we introduce certain definitions and notations.

Given a compact set  $E \subset \mathbb{C}$ , let  $\mathcal{M}_1(E)$  denote the space of all probability Borel measures supported on  $E$ . If  $P$  is a polynomial of degree  $n$ , we indicate by  $\mu_P$  the associated normalized zero counting measure, i.e.

$$\mu_P := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{P(x)=0} \delta_x,$$

where  $\delta_x$  is the Dirac measure with unit mass at  $x$  (in the sum the zeros are repeated according to their multiplicity). If  $\mu \in \mathcal{M}_1(E)$ , let

$$V^\mu(z) := \int \log \frac{1}{|z - t|} d\mu(t),$$

and for a sequence  $\{\mu_n\} \subset \mathcal{M}_1(E)$ ,  $\mu_n \xrightarrow{*} \mu$  refers to the convergence of  $\mu_n$  in the weak-star topology to  $\mu$ .

Let  $E_1, E_2$  be compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C}$ , and let  $M = [c_{j,k}]$  be a real, positive definite, symmetric matrix of order two. Given a vector measure  $\mu = (\mu_1, \mu_2) \in \mathcal{M}_1(E_1) \times \mathcal{M}_1(E_2)$ , we define the combined potential

$$W_j^\mu := \sum_{k=1}^2 c_{j,k} V^{\mu_k}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

and the constants

$$\omega_j^\mu := \inf\{W_j^\mu(x) : x \in E_j\}, \quad j = 1, 2.$$

It is well-known (see [12, Chapter 5]) that if  $E_1, E_2$  are regular with respect to the Dirichlet problem, and  $c_{j,k} \geq 0$  in case  $E_j \cap E_k \neq \emptyset$ , then there exists a unique vector measure  $\bar{\mu} = (\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\mu}_2) \in \mathcal{M}_1(E_1) \times \mathcal{M}_1(E_2)$  satisfying the properties

$W_j^{\bar{\mu}}(x) = \omega_j^{\bar{\mu}}$  for all  $x \in \text{supp}(\bar{\mu}_j)$ ,  $j = 1, 2$ . The measure  $\bar{\mu}$  is called the vector equilibrium measure determined by the interaction matrix  $M$  on the system of compact sets  $(E_1, E_2)$ , and  $\omega_1^{\bar{\mu}}, \omega_2^{\bar{\mu}}$  are called the equilibrium constants.

Let  $\lambda_1$  be the positive, rotationally invariant measure on  $S_0$  whose restriction to the interval  $[0, \alpha]$  coincides with the measure  $s_1(x) dx$ , and let  $\lambda_2$  be the positive, rotationally invariant measure on  $S_1$  whose restriction to the interval  $[-b, -a]$  coincides with the measure  $s_2(x) dx$ .

Let **Reg** denote the space of regular measures in the sense of Stahl and Totik (see definition in [15, pg. 61]). The zero asymptotic distribution and  $n$ th root asymptotics for the polynomials  $P_n$  and  $P_{n,2}$  can be described as follows:

**Theorem 1.10.** *Assume that the measures  $\lambda_1$  and  $\lambda_2$  are in the class **Reg**, and suppose that  $\text{supp}(\lambda_1)$  and  $\text{supp}(\lambda_2)$  are regular for the Dirichlet problem. Then*

$$\mu_{P_n} \xrightarrow{*} \bar{\mu}_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1(\Delta_1), \quad \mu_{P_{n,2}} \xrightarrow{*} \bar{\mu}_2 \in \mathcal{M}_1(\Delta_2), \quad (34)$$

where  $\bar{\mu} = (\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\mu}_2)$  is the vector equilibrium measure determined by the interaction matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & -1/4 \\ -1/4 & 1/4 \end{bmatrix} \quad (35)$$

on the system of intervals  $(\Delta_1, \Delta_2)$ . Therefore, the limits

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |P_n(z)|^{1/[n/3]} = e^{-V^{\bar{\mu}_1}(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Delta_1, \quad (36)$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |P_{n,2}(z)|^{1/[n/6]} = e^{-V^{\bar{\mu}_2}(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Delta_2, \quad (37)$$

hold uniformly on compact subsets of the indicated regions. Moreover,

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_0^{\alpha^3} P_{6k+j}^2(\tau) d\nu_{6k+j}(\tau) \right)^{1/4k} = e^{-\omega_1^{\bar{\mu}}}, \quad \text{for all } j = 0, \dots, 5, \quad (38)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{-b^3}^{-a^3} P_{6k+j,2}^2(\tau) d\nu_{6k+j,2}(\tau) \right)^{1/2k} = e^{-4\omega_2^{\bar{\mu}}}, \quad \text{for all } j = 0, \dots, 5, \quad (39)$$

where  $(\omega_1^{\bar{\mu}}, \omega_2^{\bar{\mu}})$  is the corresponding vector of equilibrium constants, and the varying measures  $d\nu_{6k+j}$  and  $d\nu_{6k+j,2}$  are defined in (108) below.

**Corollary 1.11.** *Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1.10, let  $\bar{\mu} = (\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\mu}_2)$  be the vector equilibrium measure determined by the interaction matrix (35) on the system of intervals  $[0, \alpha^3], [-b^3, -a^3]$ , and let  $(\omega_1^{\bar{\mu}}, \omega_2^{\bar{\mu}})$  be the corresponding vector of equilibrium constants. Consider the probability measures  $\vartheta_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1([0, \alpha])$  and  $\vartheta_2 \in \mathcal{M}_1([-b, -a])$ , defined as follows:*

$$\vartheta_1(E) := \bar{\mu}_1(E^3), \quad E \subset [0, \alpha], \quad \vartheta_2(E) := \bar{\mu}_2(E^3), \quad E \subset [-b, -a],$$

where  $E^3 = \{x^3 : x \in E\}$ . If we denote by  $Z_{Q_n}$  the set of all roots of  $Q_n$  on  $(0, \alpha)$ , and by  $Z_{Q_{n,2}}$  the set of all roots of  $Q_{n,2}$  on  $(-b, -a)$ , then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in Z_{Q_n}} \delta_x \xrightarrow{*} \frac{1}{3} \vartheta_1, \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in Z_{Q_{n,2}}} \delta_x \xrightarrow{*} \frac{1}{6} \vartheta_2.$$

The limits

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |Q_n(z)|^{1/n} &= e^{-\frac{1}{3} V^{\bar{\mu}_1}(z^3)}, & z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S_0, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |Q_{n,2}(z)|^{1/n} &= e^{-\frac{1}{6} V^{\bar{\mu}_2}(z^3)}, & z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S_1, \end{aligned}$$

hold uniformly on compact subsets of the indicated regions. Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_0^\alpha Q_{3k}^2(t) \frac{s_1(t)}{Q_{3k,2}(t)} dt \right)^{1/k} &= e^{-2\omega_1^\pi} \\
\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_0^\alpha Q_{3k+1}^2(t) \frac{t s_1(t)}{Q_{3k+1,2}(t)} dt \right)^{1/k} &= e^{-2\omega_1^\pi} \\
\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_0^\alpha Q_{3k+2}^2(t) \frac{s_1(t)}{t Q_{3k+2,2}(t)} dt \right)^{1/k} &= e^{-2\omega_1^\pi} \\
\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{-b}^{-a} Q_{3k,2}^2(t) \frac{|t h_{3k}(t)|}{|Q_{3k}(t)|} s_2(t) dt \right)^{1/k} &= e^{-4\omega_2^\pi}, \\
\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{-b}^{-a} Q_{3k+1,2}^2(t) \frac{|h_{3k+1}(t)|}{|Q_{3k+1}(t)|} s_2(t) dt \right)^{1/k} &= e^{-4\omega_2^\pi}, \\
\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \left( \int_{-b}^{-a} Q_{3k+2,2}^2(t) \frac{t^2 |h_{3k+2}(t)|}{|Q_{3k+2}(t)|} s_2(t) dt \right)^{1/k} &= e^{-4\omega_2^\pi},
\end{aligned}$$

where the functions  $h_n$  are defined in (107) (see also (109)).

The following proposition provides a link between the results on ratio and  $n$ th root asymptotics.

**Proposition 1.12.** *Under the same assumptions of Theorem 1.5, the following relations hold:*

$$V^{\bar{\mu}_1}(z) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=0}^5 \log |\tilde{F}_1^{(i)}(z)|, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \alpha^3], \quad (40)$$

$$V^{\bar{\mu}_2}(z) = -\sum_{i=0}^5 \log |\tilde{F}_2^{(i)}(z)|, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-b^3, -a^3], \quad (41)$$

where  $(\bar{\mu}_1, \bar{\mu}_2)$  is the vector equilibrium measure determined by the interaction matrix (35) on the system of intervals  $[0, \alpha^3], [-b^3, -a^3]$ .

Theorem 1.10 and Proposition 1.12 are proved in Section 7. Corollary 1.11 follows immediately from Theorem 1.10, so we omit its proof.

## 2. The polynomials $Q_n$

Let

$$\Sigma_1 := \bigcup_{k=0}^2 \exp(2\pi i k/3) (-\infty, 0].$$

We may assume that  $s_2 \equiv 0$  on  $(-\infty, 0] \setminus [-b, -a]$ , and we extend  $s_2$  to  $\Sigma_1$  through the symmetry property

$$s_2(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} z) = e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}} s_2(z), \quad z \in \Sigma_1. \quad (42)$$

Then

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{3} \int_{S_1} \frac{s_2(t)}{t-z} dt = \frac{z^2}{3} \int_{-b^3}^{-a^3} \frac{s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{(z^3 - \tau) \tau^{2/3}} d\tau, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S_1. \quad (43)$$

**Proposition 2.1.** *The functions  $\Psi_n$  satisfy the following orthogonality conditions:*

$$0 = \int_{S_1} t^\nu \Psi_{2n}(t) s_2(t) dt, \quad \nu = 0, \dots, n-1, \quad (44)$$

$$0 = \int_{S_1} t^\nu \Psi_{2n+1}(t) s_2(t) dt, \quad \nu = 0, \dots, n-1. \quad (45)$$



*Proof.* If  $0 \leq v \leq n-1$ , applying the definition of  $\Psi_{2n}$  and Fubini's theorem, we obtain

$$\int_{S_1} t^v \Psi_{2n}(t) s_2(t) dt = \int_{S_0} Q_{2n}(x) s_1(x) \int_{S_1} \frac{t^v - x^v + x^v}{x-t} s_2(t) dt dx = \int_{S_0} Q_{2n}(x) (p_v(x) - 3x^v f(x)) s_1(x) dx,$$

where  $p_v$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $n-2$ . Using (5)–(6), (44) follows. The proof of (45) is identical.  $\square$

**Proposition 2.2.** *Let  $Q_n$  be the monic polynomial of smallest degree satisfying the conditions (5)–(8). If  $d_n := \deg Q_n$ , then*

$$Q_n(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} z) = e^{\frac{2\pi i d_n}{3}} Q_n(z), \quad Q_n(z) = \overline{Q_n(\bar{z})}. \quad (46)$$

*In particular, all the coefficients of  $Q_n$  are real. Furthermore, for each  $0 \leq k \leq n-1$ ,*

$$0 = \int_0^\alpha t^k Q_{2n}(t) (1 + e^{2\pi i(k+d_{2n})/3} + e^{4\pi i(k+d_{2n})/3}) s_1(t) dt, \quad (47)$$

$$0 = \int_0^\alpha t^k Q_{2n}(t) (1 + e^{2\pi i(k+2+d_{2n})/3} + e^{4\pi i(k+2+d_{2n})/3}) s_1(t) f(t) dt, \quad (48)$$

$$0 = \int_0^\alpha t^k Q_{2n+1}(t) (1 + e^{2\pi i(k+2+d_{2n+1})/3} + e^{4\pi i(k+2+d_{2n+1})/3}) s_1(t) f(t) dt, \quad (49)$$

*and for each  $0 \leq k \leq n$ ,*

$$0 = \int_0^\alpha t^k Q_{2n+1}(t) (1 + e^{2\pi i(k+d_{2n+1})/3} + e^{4\pi i(k+d_{2n+1})/3}) s_1(t) dt. \quad (50)$$

*Proof.* It is easy to check that  $Q_n(z)$ ,  $Q_n(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} z)/e^{\frac{2\pi i d_n}{3}}$  and  $\overline{Q_n(\bar{z})}$  satisfy the same orthogonality conditions. By uniqueness, these polynomials must be equal, so (46) holds. If we write (5)–(8) in terms of  $[0, \alpha]$ , we obtain (47)–(50).  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Let  $n_1, n_2$  be non-negative integers, and assume that  $P_1, P_2$  are polynomials, not both identically equal to zero, such that  $\deg P_1 \leq n_1 - 1$  and  $\deg P_2 \leq n_2 - 1$ . Then the functions*

$$H_1(t) := P_1(t) + P_2(t) \sqrt[3]{t} f(\sqrt[3]{t}), \quad t > 0, \quad (51)$$

$$H_2(t) := P_1(t) t + P_2(t) \sqrt[3]{t} f(\sqrt[3]{t}), \quad t > 0, \quad (52)$$

*have at most  $n_1 + n_2 - 1$  zeros on  $(0, \infty)$ , counting multiplicities.*

*Proof.* Let  $\sigma$  be a finite positive measure with compact support in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let

$$\widehat{\sigma}(z) := \int \frac{d\sigma(x)}{z-x}.$$

Lemma 5 in [8] asserts that  $\{1, \widehat{\sigma}\}$  forms an AT system on any closed interval  $\Delta \subset \mathbb{R}$  disjoint from  $\text{Co}(\text{supp}(\sigma))$ , the convex hull of  $\text{supp}(\sigma)$ . This means that for any multi-index  $(n_1, n_2) \in \mathbb{Z}_+^2$ , and any pair of polynomials  $\pi_1, \pi_2$  with  $\deg \pi_1 \leq n_1 - 1$ ,  $\deg \pi_2 \leq n_2 - 1$ , not both identically equal to zero, the function  $\pi_1 + \pi_2 \widehat{\sigma}$  has at most  $n_1 + n_2 - 1$  zeros on  $\Delta$ , counting multiplicities. By (43) we know that  $H_2(t) = t(P_1(t) + P_2(t) \widehat{\sigma}(t))$ , where  $\sigma$  denotes now the measure  $(s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau})/3\tau^{2/3}) d\tau$  supported on  $[-b^3, -a^3]$ , so the assertion concerning  $H_2$  is valid.

Let  $n_1 \geq n_2$ , and suppose that there exist polynomials  $P_1, P_2$ , not both identically equal to zero, such that  $H_1$  has at least  $n_1 + n_2$  zeros on  $(0, \infty)$ , counting multiplicities. We may assume that  $P_2 \neq 0$ . Let  $T$  be a polynomial of degree  $n_1 + n_2$  that vanishes at  $n_1 + n_2$  zeros of  $H_1$  on  $(0, \infty)$ .  $H_1$  can be analytically extended onto  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-b^3, -a^3]$ ,

$$\frac{H_1(z)}{T(z)} = \frac{P_1(z)}{T(z)} + \frac{zP_2(z)}{3T(z)} \int_{-b^3}^{-a^3} \frac{s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{z-\tau} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2/3}} = O\left(\frac{1}{z^{n_2+1}}\right), \quad z \rightarrow \infty.$$

By a standard argument this implies that

$$0 = \int_{-b^3}^{-a^3} \frac{\tau^{v+1} P_2(\tau) s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{T(\tau) \tau^{2/3}} d\tau, \quad 0 \leq v \leq n_2 - 1,$$

contradicting the fact that  $\deg P_2 \leq n_2 - 1$ . If  $n_1 < n_2$ , we use again this argument by contradiction, but now we divide  $H_1(z)$  by  $T(z) \widehat{\sigma}(z)$  instead of  $T(z)$ , and use the fact that  $1/\widehat{\sigma}(z) = l(z) + \widehat{\mu}(z)$ , where  $l(z)$  is a polynomial of degree one and  $\mu$  is a measure of constant sign supported on  $[-b^3, -a^3]$  (see the appendix of [10]).  $\square$

**Proof of Proposition 1.1.** Assume first that  $n = 3l$ ,  $d_{2n} = 3j$ . Then (47)–(48) reduce to

$$0 = \int_0^\alpha t^{3k} Q_{2n}(t) s_1(t) dt = \int_0^\alpha t^{3k} Q_{2n}(t) t f(t) s_1(t) dt, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l-1.$$

From (46) and the assumption  $d_{2n} = 3j$ , we deduce that  $Q_{2n}(t) = \tilde{Q}_{2n}(t^3)$ , for a polynomial  $\tilde{Q}_{2n}$  of degree  $j$ . Therefore,

$$0 = \int_0^{\alpha^3} \tau^k \tilde{Q}_{2n}(\tau) s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2/3}} = \int_0^{\alpha^3} \tau^k \tilde{Q}_{2n}(\tau) \sqrt[3]{\tau} f(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2/3}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l-1. \quad (53)$$

Suppose that  $\tilde{Q}_{2n}$  has  $N < 2l$  sign change knots on  $(0, \alpha^3)$ . Let  $P_1, P_2$  be polynomials of degree at most  $l-1$ ,  $(P_1, P_2) \neq (0, 0)$ , such that  $H_1(t) = P_1(t) + P_2(t)\sqrt[3]{t} f(\sqrt[3]{t})$  has a zero at each point where  $\tilde{Q}_{2n}$  changes sign on  $(0, \alpha^3)$ , and a zero of order  $2l-1-N$  at  $\alpha^3$ . By Lemma 2.3,  $H_1$  has no zeros on  $(0, \alpha^3]$  other than the  $2l-1$  prescribed. Combining the two orthogonality conditions in (53) we obtain

$$\int_0^{\alpha^3} H_1(\tau) \tilde{Q}_{2n}(\tau) s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2/3}} d\tau = 0.$$

This contradicts the fact that  $H_1 \tilde{Q}_{2n}$  is real-valued and has constant sign on  $[0, \alpha^3]$ . Applying (46) we conclude that  $Q_{2n}$  has exactly  $2n$  simple zeros on  $S_0$ ,  $2n/3$  of them are located on  $(0, \alpha)$ , and the remaining zeros are rotations of the zeros on  $(0, \alpha)$  by angles of  $2\pi/3$  and  $4\pi/3$ .

Suppose now that  $n = 3l$  and  $d_{2n} = 3j+1$ . We will reach a contradiction. In this case  $Q_{2n}(t) = t \tilde{Q}_{2n}(t^3)$ , for some polynomial  $\tilde{Q}_{2n}$  of degree  $j$ . From (47) and (48) we deduce that

$$0 = \int_0^{\alpha^3} \tau^k \tilde{Q}_{2n}(\tau) \tau s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2/3}} = \int_0^{\alpha^3} \tau^k \tilde{Q}_{2n}(\tau) \sqrt[3]{\tau} f(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2/3}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l-1. \quad (54)$$

The polynomial  $\tilde{Q}_{2n}$  has  $N \leq j$  sign change knots on  $(0, \alpha^3)$ . Since  $d_{2n} \leq 2n$ , we have  $j \leq 2l-1$ . Let  $P_1, P_2$  be polynomials of degree at most  $l-1$ , not both simultaneously zero, such that  $H_2(t) = P_1(t)t + P_2(t)\sqrt[3]{t} f(\sqrt[3]{t})$  has a zero at each point where  $\tilde{Q}_{2n}$  changes sign on  $(0, \alpha^3)$  and has a zero of order  $2l-1-N$  at  $\alpha^3$ . The same argument used before but now applied to  $H_2$  shows that Lemma 2.3 and (54) yield a contradiction. Therefore  $d_{2n} = 3j+1$  is impossible if  $n$  is a multiple of 3. Similarly one proves that the assumptions  $n = 3l$  and  $d_{2n} = 3j+2$  are not compatible.

The cases  $n = 3l+1$  and  $n = 3l+2$  are handled in identical manner, showing in the first case that  $d_{2n}$  is of the form  $3j+2$  and  $Q_{2n}$  has  $2l$  sign change knots on  $(0, \alpha)$ , and in the second case by showing that  $d_{2n}$  is of the form  $3j+1$  and  $Q_{2n}$  has  $2l+1$  sign change knots on  $(0, \alpha)$ .

The analysis for the polynomials  $Q_{2n+1}$  is similar. The details are left to the reader.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.4.** *The polynomials  $Q_n$  and the functions  $\Psi_n$  satisfy*

$$Q_n(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} z) = e^{\frac{2\pi i n}{3}} Q_n(z), \quad (55)$$

$$\Psi_n(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} z) = e^{-\frac{2\pi i}{3}(1+2n)} \Psi_n(z), \quad (56)$$

for all  $n \geq 0$ .

*Proof.* (55) follows from (46) and  $d_n = n$ . (56) is an immediate consequence of (55) and the definition of  $\Psi_n$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Proposition 1.2.** The initial conditions (10) are immediate to check. For  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$zQ_{2n} = Q_{2n+1} + b_{2n}Q_{2n} + b_{2n-1}Q_{2n-1} + b_{2n-2}Q_{2n-2} + \cdots + b_1Q_1 + b_0Q_0, \quad (57)$$

and let us show that

$$b_{2n-3} = b_{2n-4} = \cdots = b_1 = b_0 = 0, \quad (58)$$

and

$$b_{2n} = b_{2n-1} = 0. \quad (59)$$

We prove (58) by induction. Let  $n \geq 2$ . If we integrate (57) term by term with respect to  $s_1(t) dt$ , (5) and (7) imply that  $b_0 = 0$ . The fact that  $b_1 = 0$  follows now by integrating (57) term by term with respect to  $f(t) s_1(t) dt$ . Assume now that  $0 = b_0 = b_1 = \dots = b_{2k} = b_{2k+1} = 0$  for some  $k \leq n-3$ . After multiplying (57) by  $z^{k+1}$  and integrating the resulting equation first with respect to  $s_1(t) dt$ , and then with respect to  $f(t) s_1(t) dt$ , we get  $b_{2k+2} = b_{2k+3} = 0$  (observe that  $\int_{S_0} t^{k+1} Q_{2k+2}(t) s_1(t) dt \neq 0$  and  $\int_{S_0} t^{k+1} Q_{2k+3}(t) f(t) s_1(t) dt \neq 0$ ), so (58) follows. (59) is immediate from (55).

Analogously one shows that for  $n \geq 1$ ,  $zQ_{2n+1} = Q_{2n+2} + a_{2n+1} Q_{2n-1}$ ,  $a_{2n+1} \in \mathbb{R}$ , so (9) is justified. The formulas in (11) follow directly from (9). The positivity of the recurrence coefficients is proved later in Proposition 3.8.  $\square$

### 3. The functions of second type $\Psi_n$ and associated polynomials $Q_{n,2}$

**Proposition 3.1.** *The following formula holds:*

$$\Psi_n(z) = \int_0^\alpha \left( \frac{1}{t-z} + \frac{e^{\frac{2\pi i n}{3}}}{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} t - z} + \frac{e^{\frac{4\pi i n}{3}}}{e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}} t - z} \right) Q_n(t) s_1(t) dt, \quad z \notin S_0. \quad (60)$$

*In particular, for any integer  $k \geq 0$ ,*

$$\Psi_{3k}(z) = 3z^2 \int_0^\alpha \frac{Q_{3k}(t) s_1(t)}{t^3 - z^3} dt = z^2 \int_0^{\alpha^3} \frac{Q_{3k}(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{\tau - z^3} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2/3}}, \quad (61)$$

$$\Psi_{3k+1}(z) = 3 \int_0^\alpha \frac{t^2 Q_{3k+1}(t) s_1(t)}{t^3 - z^3} dt = \int_0^{\alpha^3} \frac{Q_{3k+1}(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{\tau - z^3} d\tau, \quad (62)$$

$$\Psi_{3k+2}(z) = 3z \int_0^\alpha \frac{t Q_{3k+2}(t) s_1(t)}{t^3 - z^3} dt = z \int_0^{\alpha^3} \frac{Q_{3k+2}(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{\tau - z^3} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{1/3}}. \quad (63)$$

*Proof.* The definition of  $\Psi_n$  and the symmetry properties (4) and (55) give directly (60).  $\square$

**Proposition 3.2.** *For any integer  $l \geq 0$ , the following orthogonality conditions hold:*

$$0 = \int_0^{\alpha^3} \tau^k Q_{6l}(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2/3}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l-1, \quad (64)$$

$$0 = \int_0^{\alpha^3} \tau^k Q_{6l+1}(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) d\tau, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l-1, \quad (65)$$

$$0 = \int_0^{\alpha^3} \tau^k Q_{6l+2}(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{1/3}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l-1, \quad (66)$$

$$0 = \int_0^{\alpha^3} \tau^k Q_{6l+3}(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2/3}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l, \quad (67)$$

$$0 = \int_0^{\alpha^3} \tau^k Q_{6l+4}(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) d\tau, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l-1, \quad (68)$$

$$0 = \int_0^{\alpha^3} \tau^k Q_{6l+5}(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{1/3}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l. \quad (69)$$

*Proof.* Follows immediately from Proposition 2.2 and the fact that  $d_n = n$  for all  $n \geq 0$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.3.** *The following estimates are valid as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ :*

$$\Psi_{6l}(z) = O\left(\frac{1}{z^{3l+1}}\right), \quad \Psi_{6l+2}(z) = O\left(\frac{1}{z^{3l+2}}\right), \quad \Psi_{6l+4}(z) = O\left(\frac{1}{z^{3l+3}}\right), \quad (70)$$

$$\Psi_{6l+1}(z) = O\left(\frac{1}{z^{3l+3}}\right), \quad \Psi_{6l+3}(z) = O\left(\frac{1}{z^{3l+4}}\right), \quad \Psi_{6l+5}(z) = O\left(\frac{1}{z^{3l+5}}\right). \quad (71)$$

*Proof.* By (12) we know that  $\Psi_{2n}(z) = O(1/z^{n+1})$ , which implies (70). We can improve the estimate  $\Psi_{2n+1}(z) = O(1/z^{n+2})$  given in (12). From Proposition 3.2 we deduce:

$$\int \frac{Q_{6l+1}(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{\tau - z} d\tau = O\left(\frac{1}{z^{l+1}}\right), \quad \int \frac{Q_{6l+3}(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{\tau - z} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2/3}} = O\left(\frac{1}{z^{l+2}}\right), \quad \int \frac{Q_{6l+5}(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{\tau - z} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{1/3}} = O\left(\frac{1}{z^{l+2}}\right).$$

We conclude the proof now by taking into account the representations (61)–(63).  $\square$

It is convenient to rewrite the orthogonality conditions in Proposition 2.1 in terms of the interval  $(-b^3, -a^3)$ . Applying the symmetry properties (56) and (42), we obtain:

**Proposition 3.4.** *The functions  $\Psi_n$  satisfy:*

$$0 = \int_{-b}^{-a} t^\nu \Psi_{2n}(t) (1 + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}(\nu-4n-1)} + e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}(\nu-4n-1)}) s_2(t) dt, \quad \nu = 0, \dots, n-1,$$

$$0 = \int_{-b}^{-a} t^\nu \Psi_{2n+1}(t) (1 + e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}(\nu-n)} + e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}(\nu-n)}) s_2(t) dt, \quad \nu = 0, \dots, n-1.$$

In particular, for any integer  $l \geq 0$ ,

$$0 = \int_{-b^3}^{-a^3} \tau^k \Psi_{6l+j}(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{1/3}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l-1, \quad j = 0, 3, \quad (72)$$

$$0 = \int_{-b^3}^{-a^3} \tau^k \Psi_{6l+2+j}(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) d\tau, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l-1, \quad j = 0, 3, \quad (73)$$

$$0 = \int_{-b^3}^{-a^3} \tau^k \Psi_{6l+1}(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2/3}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l-1, \quad (74)$$

$$0 = \int_{-b^3}^{-a^3} \tau^k \Psi_{6l+4}(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2/3}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l, \quad (75)$$

As a consequence of (72)–(75) we have:

**Corollary 3.5.** *For each  $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 5\}$ , the function  $\Psi_{6l+j}$  has at least  $l$  sign change knots in the interval  $(-b, -a)$ , and the function  $\Psi_{6l+4}$  has at least  $l+1$  sign change knots in the interval  $(-b, -a)$ . Therefore the functions  $\Psi_{6l+j}$ ,  $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 5\}$  have at least  $3l$  zeros, counting multiplicities, in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S_0$ , and  $\Psi_{6l+4}$  has at least  $3l+3$  zeros, counting multiplicities, in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S_0$ .*

Observe that the function  $\Psi_n$  satisfies the property  $\Psi_n(\bar{z}) = -\overline{\Psi_n(z)}$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S_0$ . Considering this fact, Corollary 3.5, and the symmetry property (56), it is easy to see that if  $\Psi_{6l+j}$ ,  $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 5\}$ , has more than  $3l$  zeros in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S_0$ , counting multiplicities, then we can find a polynomial  $R_{6l+j}$  with real coefficients and degree at least  $3l+3$  satisfying:

$$R_{6l+j}(z) = R_{6l+j}(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\Psi_{6l+j}}{R_{6l+j}} \in H(\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S_0). \quad (76)$$

Similarly, if we assume that  $\Psi_{6l+4}$  has more than  $3l+3$  zeros in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S_0$ , counting multiplicities, then there exists a polynomial  $R_{6l+4}$  with real coefficients and degree at least  $3l+6$  such that (76) hold for  $j = 4$ .

**Proof of Proposition 1.3.** Suppose that  $\Psi_{6l}$  has more than  $3l$  zeros in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S_0$ , counting multiplicities. Let  $R_{6l}$  be a polynomial with real coefficients and degree at least  $3l+3$  satisfying (76). By (70),  $\Psi_{6l}(z)/R_{6l}(z) = O(1/z^{6l+4})$  as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let  $\Gamma$  be a Jordan curve surrounding  $S_0$  such that the zeros of  $R_{6l}$  lie outside  $\Gamma$ . By Cauchy's theorem, Fubini's theorem, and Cauchy's integral formula, for  $\nu = 0, \dots, 6l+2$ ,

$$0 = \int_{\Gamma} z^\nu \frac{\Psi_{6l}(z)}{R_{6l}(z)} dz = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{z^\nu}{R_{6l}(z)} \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_0^\alpha \left( \frac{1}{t-z} + \frac{1}{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} t-z} + \frac{1}{e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}} t-z} \right) Q_{6l}(t) s_1(t) dt dz$$

$$= \int_0^\alpha t^\nu \left[ \frac{1}{R_{6l}(t)} + \frac{e^{2\pi i \nu/3}}{R_{6l}(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} t)} + \frac{e^{4\pi i \nu/3}}{R_{6l}(e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}} t)} \right] Q_{6l}(t) s_1(t) dt,$$

and applying (76), we obtain

$$0 = \int_0^\alpha t^{3k} Q_{6l}(t) \frac{s_1(t)}{R_{6l}(t)} dt, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 2l.$$

Consequently,  $Q_{6l}$  has at least  $2l + 1$  sign change knots in  $(0, \alpha)$ , contradicting Proposition 1.1. This and Corollary 3.5 prove the claim for  $n = 6l$ . In the remaining cases we use the same argument. Indeed, if  $\Psi_{6l+j}$ ,  $j \in \{1, 2, 3, 5\}$ , has more than  $3l$  zeros in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S_0$  and  $\Psi_{6l+4}$  has more than  $3l + 3$  zeros in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus S_0$ , counting multiplicities, then we know (see discussion after Corollary 3.5) that we can select polynomials  $R_{6l+j}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 5$  satisfying (76) such that, as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$\frac{\Psi_{6l+1}(z)}{R_{6l+1}(z)} = O\left(\frac{1}{z^{6l+6}}\right), \quad \frac{\Psi_{6l+2}(z)}{R_{6l+2}(z)} = O\left(\frac{1}{z^{6l+5}}\right), \quad \frac{\Psi_{6l+3}(z)}{R_{6l+3}(z)} = O\left(\frac{1}{z^{6l+7}}\right), \quad \frac{\Psi_{6l+4}(z)}{R_{6l+4}(z)} = O\left(\frac{1}{z^{6l+9}}\right), \quad \frac{\Psi_{6l+5}(z)}{R_{6l+5}(z)} = O\left(\frac{1}{z^{6l+8}}\right).$$

These estimates lead to the orthogonality conditions

$$0 = \int_0^\alpha t^{3k+2} Q_{6l+1}(t) \frac{s_1(t)}{R_{6l+1}(t)} dt = \int_0^\alpha t^{3k+1} Q_{6l+2}(t) \frac{s_1(t)}{R_{6l+2}(t)} dt, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 2l,$$

$$0 = \int_0^\alpha t^{3k} Q_{6l+3}(t) \frac{s_1(t)}{R_{6l+3}(t)} dt = \int_0^\alpha t^{3k+2} Q_{6l+4}(t) \frac{s_1(t)}{R_{6l+4}(t)} dt = \int_0^\alpha t^{3k+1} Q_{6l+5}(t) \frac{s_1(t)}{R_{6l+5}(t)} dt, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 2l + 1,$$

which contradict the number of zeros that the polynomials  $Q_{6l+j}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 5$ , have on  $(0, \alpha)$  (see Proposition 1.1).  $\square$

Recall that  $Q_{n,2}$  is defined as the monic polynomial whose zeros coincide with the finite zeros of  $\Psi_n$  outside  $S_0$ . The argument shown above proves the following:

**Proposition 3.6.** *For each  $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 5\}$ ,  $\deg(Q_{6l+j,2}) = 3l$ , and  $\deg(Q_{6l+4,2}) = 3l + 3$ . Furthermore,*

$$0 = \int_0^\alpha t^{3k} Q_{3l}(t) \frac{s_1(t)}{Q_{3l,2}(t)} dt, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l - 1, \quad (77)$$

$$0 = \int_0^\alpha t^{3k+2} Q_{3l+1}(t) \frac{s_1(t)}{Q_{3l+1,2}(t)} dt, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l - 1, \quad (78)$$

$$0 = \int_0^\alpha t^{3k+1} Q_{3l+2}(t) \frac{s_1(t)}{Q_{3l+2,2}(t)} dt, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l - 1, \quad (79)$$

**Proposition 3.7.** *The following formulas are valid for  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S_0$ . If  $q$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $3k$ , then*

$$\frac{q(z)\Psi_{3k}(z)}{Q_{3k,2}(z)} = \int_0^\alpha \frac{Q_{3k}(x) s_1(x)}{Q_{3k,2}(x)} \left( \frac{q(x)}{x-z} + \frac{q(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} x)}{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} x - z} + \frac{q(e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}} x)}{e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}} x - z} \right) dx. \quad (80)$$

If  $\deg(q) \leq 3k + 2$ , then

$$\frac{q(z)\Psi_{3k+1}(z)}{Q_{3k+1,2}(z)} = \int_0^\alpha \frac{Q_{3k+1}(x) s_1(x)}{Q_{3k+1,2}(x)} \left( \frac{q(x)}{x-z} + \frac{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} q(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} x)}{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} x - z} + \frac{e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}} q(e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}} x)}{e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}} x - z} \right) dx. \quad (81)$$

If  $\deg(q) \leq 3k + 1$ , then

$$\frac{q(z)\Psi_{3k+2}(z)}{Q_{3k+2,2}(z)} = \int_0^\alpha \frac{Q_{3k+2}(x) s_1(x)}{Q_{3k+2,2}(x)} \left( \frac{q(x)}{x-z} + \frac{e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}} q(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} x)}{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} x - z} + \frac{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} q(e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}} x)}{e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}} x - z} \right) dx. \quad (82)$$

In particular, we have

$$\frac{Q_{3k}(z)\Psi_{3k}(z)}{Q_{3k,2}(z)} = 3z^2 \int_0^\alpha \frac{Q_{3k}^2(x)}{Q_{3k,2}(x)} \frac{s_1(x)}{x^3 - z^3} dx, \quad (83)$$

$$\frac{Q_{3k+1}(z)\Psi_{3k+1}(z)}{Q_{3k+1,2}(z)} = 3z \int_0^\alpha \frac{Q_{3k+1}^2(x)}{Q_{3k+1,2}(x)} \frac{x s_1(x)}{x^3 - z^3} dx, \quad (84)$$

$$\frac{Q_{3k+2}(z)\Psi_{3k+2}(z)}{Q_{3k+2,2}(z)} = 3z^3 \int_0^\alpha \frac{Q_{3k+2}^2(x)}{Q_{3k+2,2}(x)} \frac{s_1(x)}{x(x^3 - z^3)} dx. \quad (85)$$

*Proof.* By (70)–(71) and Proposition 3.6, we know that if  $q$  is a polynomial of degree at most  $3k$ , then

$$\frac{q(z)\Psi_{3k}(z)}{Q_{3k,2}(z)} = O\left(\frac{1}{z}\right), \quad z \rightarrow \infty. \quad (86)$$

For  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S_0$ , let  $\Gamma$  be a Jordan curve surrounding  $S_0$  and oriented clockwise, so that  $z$  and the zeros of  $Q_{3k,2}$  lie outside  $\Gamma$ . From (86) and (60) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{q(z)\Psi_{3k}(z)}{Q_{3k,2}(z)} &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{q(t)\Psi_{3k}(t)}{Q_{3k,2}(t)} \frac{dt}{t-z} = \int_0^\alpha Q_{3k}(x) s_1(x) \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{q(t)}{Q_{3k,2}(t)(t-z)} \left[ \frac{1}{x-t} + \frac{1}{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}x-t} + \frac{1}{e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}x-t} \right] dt dx \\ &= \int_0^\alpha \frac{Q_{3k}(x) s_1(x)}{Q_{3k,2}(x)} \left( \frac{q(x)}{x-z} + \frac{q(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}x)}{e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}x-z} + \frac{q(e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}x)}{e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}x-z} \right) dx, \end{aligned}$$

where in the last equality we used that  $Q_{3k,2}(t) = Q_{3k,2}(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}t) = Q_{3k,2}(e^{\frac{4\pi i}{3}}t)$ . This proves (80). The proofs of (81)–(82) are identical. To obtain (83) and (84), we replace  $q$  in formulas (80) and (81) by  $Q_{3k}$  and  $Q_{3k+1}$ , respectively. Formula (85) follows from (82) by taking  $q(z) = Q_{3k+2}(z)/z$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 3.8.** *The recurrence coefficients  $\{a_n\}_{n \geq 2}$  that appear in (9) are all positive.*

*Proof.* To prove that  $a_{2n}$  is positive it suffices to show that  $\int_0^\alpha t^n Q_{2n}(t) s_1(t) dt > 0$  for all  $n \geq 0$ . Let  $n = 3l$ . Since  $\deg(t^{3l} Q_{6l,2}) = 6l$ , by (77) we obtain

$$\int_0^\alpha t^{3l} Q_{6l}(t) s_1(t) dt = \int_0^\alpha t^{3l} Q_{6l}(t) Q_{6l,2}(t) \frac{s_1(t)}{Q_{6l,2}(t)} dt = \int_0^\alpha Q_{6l}^2(t) \frac{s_1(t)}{Q_{6l,2}(t)} dt > 0.$$

For  $n = 3l + 1$ , using (79) and  $\deg(t^{3l+1} Q_{6l+2,2}) = 6l + 2$ , we get

$$\int_0^\alpha t^{3l+1} Q_{6l+2}(t) s_1(t) dt = \int_0^\alpha t^{3l+1} Q_{6l+2,2}(t) Q_{6l+2}(t) \frac{s_1(t)}{t Q_{6l+2,2}(t)} dt = \int_0^\alpha Q_{6l+2}^2(t) \frac{s_1(t)}{t Q_{6l+2,2}(t)} dt > 0.$$

Finally, for  $n = 3l + 2$ , applying (78) and  $\deg(t^{3l+1} Q_{6l+4,2}) = 6l + 4$ , we obtain

$$\int_0^\alpha t^{3l+2} Q_{6l+4}(t) s_1(t) dt = \int_0^\alpha t^{3l+1} Q_{6l+4,2}(t) Q_{6l+4}(t) \frac{t s_1(t)}{Q_{6l+4,2}(t)} dt = \int_0^\alpha Q_{6l+4}^2(t) \frac{t s_1(t)}{Q_{6l+4,2}(t)} dt > 0.$$

It is easy to see that the functions  $\Psi_n$  satisfy the same recurrence relation (9). In particular,

$$t\Psi_{2n+1}(t) = \Psi_{2n+2}(t) + a_{2n+1}\Psi_{2n-1}(t). \quad (87)$$

Using Proposition 3.4, if we multiply (87) by an appropriate power of  $t$  and integrate, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-b}^{-a} t^{3l} \Psi_{6l+1}(t) s_2(t) dt &= a_{6l+1} \int_{-b}^{-a} t^{3l-1} \Psi_{6l-1}(t) s_2(t) dt, \quad \int_{-b}^{-a} t^{3l+1} \Psi_{6l+3}(t) s_2(t) dt = a_{6l+3} \int_{-b}^{-a} t^{3l} \Psi_{6l+1}(t) s_2(t) dt, \\ \int_{-b}^{-a} t^{3l+2} \Psi_{6l+5}(t) s_2(t) dt &= a_{6l+5} \int_{-b}^{-a} t^{3l+1} \Psi_{6l+3}(t) s_2(t) dt. \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, it is easy to deduce from (83)–(85) that if  $t < 0$ , then

$$\text{sign}\left(\frac{\Psi_{3k}(t)}{Q_{3k,2}(t)}\right) = (-1)^{3k}, \quad \text{sign}\left(\frac{\Psi_{3k+1}(t)}{Q_{3k+1,2}(t)}\right) = (-1)^{3k}, \quad \text{sign}\left(\frac{\Psi_{3k+2}(t)}{Q_{3k+2,2}(t)}\right) = (-1)^{3k+1}. \quad (88)$$

Observe that since  $\deg Q_{6l-1,2} = 3l - 3$  and  $\deg Q_{6l+1,2} = \deg Q_{6l+3,2} = 3l$ , by the orthogonality conditions satisfied by the functions  $\Psi_{2n+1}$  and (88), we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{-b}^{-a} t^{3l-1} \Psi_{6l-1}(t) s_2(t) dt &= \int_{-b}^{-a} Q_{6l-1,2}(t) \Psi_{6l-1}(t) t^2 s_2(t) dt = \int_{-b}^{-a} Q_{6l-1,2}^2(t) \frac{\Psi_{6l-1}(t)}{Q_{6l-1,2}(t)} t^2 s_2(t) dt > 0, \\ \int_{-b}^{-a} t^{3l} \Psi_{6l+1}(t) s_2(t) dt &= \int_{-b}^{-a} Q_{6l+1,2}(t) \Psi_{6l+1}(t) s_2(t) dt = \int_{-b}^{-a} Q_{6l+1,2}^2(t) \frac{\Psi_{6l+1}(t)}{Q_{6l+1,2}(t)} s_2(t) dt > 0, \\ \int_{-b}^{-a} t^{3l+1} \Psi_{6l+3}(t) s_2(t) dt &= \int_{-b}^{-a} Q_{6l+3,2}(t) \Psi_{6l+3}(t) t s_2(t) dt = \int_{-b}^{-a} Q_{6l+3,2}^2(t) \frac{\Psi_{6l+3}(t)}{Q_{6l+3,2}(t)} t s_2(t) dt > 0. \end{aligned}$$

This shows that  $a_{2n+1} > 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$ .  $\square$

#### 4. Interlacing properties of the zeros of $Q_n$ and $\Psi_n$

**Proposition 4.1.** *Let  $A, B \in \mathbb{R}$  be two constants such that  $|A| + |B| > 0$ , and let*

$$Y_n(z) := A z \Psi_n(z) + B \Psi_{n+1}(z), \quad (89)$$

$$T_n(z) := A z Q_n(z) + B Q_{n+1}(z). \quad (90)$$

*Then, for every  $n \geq 0$ , the function  $Y_n$  has only simple zeros on  $(-\infty, 0)$ . Similarly, for every  $n \geq 0$ , the polynomial  $T_n$  has only simple zeros on  $(0, \alpha)$ .*

*Proof.* From (72)–(75) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_{-b^3}^{-a^3} \tau^k Y_{6l+1}(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) d\tau, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l-2, & 0 &= \int_{-b^3}^{-a^3} \tau^k Y_{6l+4}(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) d\tau, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l-1, \\ 0 &= \int_{-b^3}^{-a^3} \tau^k Y_{6l+j}(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2/3}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l-1, \quad j = 0, 3, \\ 0 &= \int_{-b^3}^{-a^3} \tau^k Y_{6l+2+j}(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{1/3}}, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l-1, \quad j = 0, 3. \end{aligned}$$

Consequently, for each  $j \in \{0, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$ , the function  $Y_{6l+j}$  has at least  $l$  sign change knots in  $(-b, -a)$ , and  $Y_{6l+1}$  has at least  $l-1$  sign change knots in  $(-b, -a)$ . From (56) it follows that for every  $n$ ,  $Y_n(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} z) = C_n Y_n(z)$ , where  $C_n$  denotes a constant. Therefore, the functions  $Y_{6l+j}$ ,  $j \in \{0, 2, 3, 4, 5\}$  have at least  $3l$  zeros on  $S_1$ , and  $Y_{6l+1}$  has at least  $3l-3$  zeros on  $S_1$ . For each  $0 \leq j \leq 5$ , let  $R_{6l+j}$  denote the monic polynomial whose zeros coincide with the zeros of  $Y_{6l+j}$  on  $\Sigma_1 \setminus \{0\}$ . Then  $R_{6l+j}$  satisfies (76),  $Y_{6l+j}/R_{6l+j} \in H(\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S_0)$ , and using (70)–(71) we deduce that as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{Y_{6l}(z)}{R_{6l}(z)} &= O\left(\frac{1}{z^{6l}}\right), & \frac{Y_{6l+1}(z)}{R_{6l+1}(z)} &= O\left(\frac{1}{z^{6l-1}}\right), & \frac{Y_{6l+2}(z)}{R_{6l+2}(z)} &= O\left(\frac{1}{z^{6l+1}}\right), \\ \frac{Y_{6l+3}(z)}{R_{6l+3}(z)} &= O\left(\frac{1}{z^{6l+3}}\right), & \frac{Y_{6l+4}(z)}{R_{6l+4}(z)} &= O\left(\frac{1}{z^{6l+2}}\right), & \frac{Y_{6l+5}(z)}{R_{6l+5}(z)} &= O\left(\frac{1}{z^{6l+4}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Let  $\Gamma$  again denote a Jordan curve surrounding  $S_0$ , such that the zeros of the polynomials  $R_{6l+j}$  lie outside  $\Gamma$ . By (60),

$$0 = \int_{\Gamma} z^{\nu} \frac{Y_{6l}(z)}{R_{6l}(z)} dz = \int_0^{\alpha} x^{\nu} T_{6l}(x) (1 + e^{2\pi i(\nu+1)/3} + e^{4\pi i(\nu+1)/3}) \frac{s_1(x)}{R_{6l}(x)} dx, \quad \nu = 0, \dots, 6l-2,$$

which is equivalent to

$$0 = \int_0^{\alpha} x^{3k+2} T_{6l}(x) \frac{s_1(x)}{R_{6l}(x)} dx, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 2l-2. \quad (91)$$

Similarly we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_0^{\alpha} x^{3k+1} T_{6l+1}(x) \frac{s_1(x)}{R_{6l+1}(x)} dx, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 2l-2, & 0 &= \int_0^{\alpha} x^{3k} T_{6l+5}(x) \frac{s_1(x)}{R_{6l+5}(x)} dx, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 2l. \\ 0 &= \int_0^{\alpha} x^{3k} T_{6l+2}(x) \frac{s_1(x)}{R_{6l+2}(x)} dx = \int_0^{\alpha} x^{3k+2} T_{6l+3}(x) \frac{s_1(x)}{R_{6l+3}(x)} dx = \int_0^{\alpha} x^{3k+1} T_{6l+4}(x) \frac{s_1(x)}{R_{6l+4}(x)} dx, \quad 0 \leq k \leq 2l-1, \end{aligned} \quad (92)$$

From (91) it follows that  $T_{6l}$  has at least  $2l-1$  sign change knots in  $(0, \alpha)$ . Since  $T_{6l}(ze^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}}) = e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} T_{6l}(z)$ , we see that any zero of  $T_{6l}$  in  $(0, \infty)$  must be simple, otherwise  $T_{6l}$  would have at least  $6l+3$  zeros, contradicting  $\deg(T_{6l}) \leq 6l+1$ . Similarly, using (92)–(93) we show that the polynomials  $T_{6l+j}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 5$ , have only simple zeros in  $(0, \infty)$ .

Now we prove that the functions  $Y_n$  have only simple zeros in  $(-\infty, 0)$ . We know that  $Y_{6l}$  has at least  $l$  sign change knots in  $(-\infty, 0)$ . If we assume that  $Y_{6l}$  has a zero of multiplicity  $\geq 2$ , then  $\deg R_{6l} \geq 3l+6$ , and so we would have

$$Y_{6l}(z)/R_{6l}(z) = O(1/z^{6l+6}), \quad z \rightarrow \infty.$$

Reasoning as above, we arrive to the fact that  $\deg T_{6l} \geq 6l+3$ , which is impossible. Similarly we see that the zeros of  $Y_{6l+j}$ ,  $1 \leq j \leq 5$ , contained in  $(-\infty, 0)$ , must be simple.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.4.** Let  $x \in (0, \alpha)$  and assume that  $Q_n(x) = Q_{n+1}(x) = 0$ . Take  $A = 1$ ,  $B = -xQ'_n(x)/Q'_{n+1}(x)$ . For this choice of  $A$  and  $B$ , the polynomial  $T_n$  defined by (90) satisfies  $T_n(x) = T'_n(x) = 0$ , contradicting Proposition 4.1.

Let  $x \in (0, \alpha)$  be arbitrary but fixed. Take now  $A = Q_{n+1}(x)/x$  and  $B = -Q_n(x)$ . For this choice of  $A$  and  $B$ , we have  $T_n(x) = 0$ , therefore  $T'_n(x) \neq 0$ , or equivalently

$$L_n(x) := \frac{Q_{n+1}(x)Q_n(x)}{x} + Q_{n+1}(x)Q'_n(x) - Q_n(x)Q'_{n+1}(x) \neq 0.$$

In particular, the sign of  $L_n$  is constant on  $(0, \alpha)$ . Evaluating  $L_n$  at two consecutive zeros of  $Q_n$  ( $Q_{n+1}$ ) on  $(0, \alpha)$ , we see immediately that there must be an intermediate zero of  $Q_{n+1}$  ( $Q_n$ ).

The same argument proves the interlacing property of the zeros of  $\Psi_n$  and  $\Psi_{n+1}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.2.** *Let the roots of the polynomials  $Q_{3k+i}$ ,  $0 \leq i \leq 2$ , in the interval  $(0, \alpha)$ , be defined as follows:*

$$x_1^{(3k+i)} < x_2^{(3k+i)} < x_3^{(3k+i)} < \dots < x_{k-1}^{(3k+i)} < x_k^{(3k+i)}.$$

Then

$$x_1^{(3k)} < x_1^{(3k+1)} < x_2^{(3k)} < x_2^{(3k+1)} < \dots < x_k^{(3k)} < x_k^{(3k+1)}, \quad (94)$$

$$x_1^{(3k+1)} < x_1^{(3k+2)} < x_2^{(3k+1)} < x_2^{(3k+2)} < \dots < x_k^{(3k+1)} < x_k^{(3k+2)}, \quad (95)$$

$$x_1^{(3k+3)} < x_1^{(3k+2)} < x_2^{(3k+3)} < x_2^{(3k+2)} < \dots < x_k^{(3k+2)} < x_{k+1}^{(3k+3)}. \quad (96)$$

*Proof.* If we write

$$Q_{3k-2}(z) = b_1^{(3k-2)}z + \dots + z^{3k-2}, \quad Q_{3k}(z) = b_0^{(3k)} + \dots + z^{3k}, \quad Q_{3k+1}(z) = b_1^{(3k+1)}z + \dots + z^{3k+1},$$

from (9) we obtain the relation  $b_0^{(3k)} - b_1^{(3k+1)} = a_{3k} b_1^{(3k-2)}$ . Vieta formulas show that

$$b_0^{(3k)} = (-1)^{3k} (x_1^{(3k)} \dots x_k^{(3k)})^3, \quad b_1^{(3k+1)} = (-1)^{3k} (x_1^{(3k+1)} \dots x_k^{(3k+1)})^3,$$

and similarly  $b_1^{(3k-2)}$  equals  $(-1)^{3k-1}$  times the product of all non-zero roots of  $Q_{3k-2}$ . Since  $a_{3k} > 0$  and the product of all non-zero roots of  $Q_{3k-2}$  is also positive, we deduce that  $(x_1^{(3k)} \dots x_k^{(3k)})^3 < (x_1^{(3k+1)} \dots x_k^{(3k+1)})^3$ . This inequality and Theorem 1.4 imply (94). Similarly we show that  $(x_1^{(3k+1)} \dots x_k^{(3k+1)})^3 < (x_1^{(3k+2)} \dots x_k^{(3k+2)})^3$ , which implies (95). Finally, (96) follows directly from Theorem 1.4.  $\square$

## 5. Ratio asymptotics of the polynomials $Q_n$ and $Q_{n,2}$

Let

$$H_n := \frac{Q_n \Psi_n}{Q_{n,2}}. \quad (97)$$

Notice that  $H_n$  is real-valued on  $(-\infty, 0)$  and has constant sign on this interval. Having in mind the definitions (13)–(14), we have:

**Proposition 5.1.** *Let  $l \geq 0$  be an arbitrary integer. Then the following orthogonality conditions hold:*

$$0 = \int_{-b^3}^{-a^3} \tau^k P_{6l+j,2}(\tau) \frac{|H_{6l+j}(\sqrt[3]{\tau})| s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{|\sqrt[3]{\tau} P_{6l+j}(\tau)|} d\tau, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l-1, \quad j = 0, 3, \quad (98)$$

$$0 = \int_{-b^3}^{-a^3} \tau^k P_{6l+2+j,2}(\tau) \frac{|H_{6l+2+j}(\sqrt[3]{\tau})| s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{|\tau^{2/3} P_{6l+2+j}(\sqrt[3]{\tau})|} d\tau, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l-1, \quad j = 0, 3, \quad (99)$$

$$0 = \int_{-b^3}^{-a^3} \tau^k P_{6l+1,2}(\tau) \frac{|H_{6l+1}(\sqrt[3]{\tau})| s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{|\tau P_{6l+1}(\tau)|} d\tau, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l-1, \quad (100)$$

$$0 = \int_{-b^3}^{-a^3} \tau^k P_{6l+4,2}(\tau) \frac{|H_{6l+4}(\sqrt[3]{\tau})| s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{|\tau P_{6l+4}(\tau)|} d\tau, \quad 0 \leq k \leq l. \quad (101)$$



*Proof.* This orthogonality conditions follow immediately from (72)–(75).  $\square$

**Proposition 5.2.** *Let  $k \geq 0$  be an arbitrary integer. Then the following orthogonality conditions hold:*

$$0 = \int_0^{\alpha^3} \tau^j P_{3k}(\tau) \frac{s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{P_{3k,2}(\tau)} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2/3}}, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k-1. \quad (102)$$

$$0 = \int_0^{\alpha^3} \tau^j P_{3k+1}(\tau) \frac{s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{P_{3k+1,2}(\tau)} \sqrt[3]{\tau} d\tau, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k-1. \quad (103)$$

$$0 = \int_0^{\alpha^3} \tau^j P_{3k+2}(\tau) \frac{s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{P_{3k+2,2}(\tau)} \sqrt[3]{\tau} d\tau, \quad 0 \leq j \leq k-1. \quad (104)$$

*Proof.* This orthogonality conditions follow immediately from (77)–(79).  $\square$

Observe that by Proposition 1.3, for each  $j \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, 5\}$ ,  $P_{6l+j,2}$  is a polynomial of degree  $l$ , and  $P_{6l+4,2}$  has degree  $l+1$ . By Proposition 1.1, for each  $k \geq 0$  and  $j \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ ,  $P_{3k+j}$  has degree  $k$ .

For each integer  $j \geq 0$  we let

$$\begin{aligned} K_{3j} &:= \left( \int_0^{\alpha^3} P_{3j}^2(\tau) \frac{s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{P_{3j,2}(\tau)} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2/3}} \right)^{-1/2}, \\ K_{3j+1} &:= \left( \int_0^{\alpha^3} P_{3j+1}^2(\tau) \frac{s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) \sqrt[3]{\tau}}{P_{3j+1,2}(\tau)} d\tau \right)^{-1/2}, \\ K_{3j+2} &:= \left( \int_0^{\alpha^3} P_{3j+2}^2(\tau) \frac{s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) \sqrt[3]{\tau}}{P_{3j+2,2}(\tau)} d\tau \right)^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we define for each integer  $j \geq 0$  the following constants:

$$\begin{aligned} K_{3j,2} &:= \left( \int_{-b^3}^{-a^3} P_{3j,2}^2(\tau) \frac{|H_{3j}(\sqrt[3]{\tau})|}{|\sqrt[3]{\tau} P_{3j}(\tau)|} s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) d\tau \right)^{-1/2}, \\ K_{3j+1,2} &:= \left( \int_{-b^3}^{-a^3} P_{3j+1,2}^2(\tau) \frac{|H_{3j+1}(\sqrt[3]{\tau})|}{|\tau P_{3j+1}(\tau)|} s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) d\tau \right)^{-1/2}, \\ K_{3j+2,2} &:= \left( \int_{-b^3}^{-a^3} P_{3j+2,2}^2(\tau) \frac{|H_{3j+2}(\sqrt[3]{\tau})|}{|\tau^{2/3} P_{3j+2}(\tau)|} s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) d\tau \right)^{-1/2}. \end{aligned}$$

We need to introduce more notations. Let

$$\kappa_n := K_n, \quad \kappa_{n,2} := \frac{K_{n,2}}{K_n}, \quad (105)$$

consider the polynomials

$$p_n := \kappa_n P_n, \quad p_{n,2} := \kappa_{n,2} P_{n,2}, \quad (106)$$

and the functions

$$h_n := K_n^2 H_n. \quad (107)$$

Finally, we introduce the following positive varying measures:

$$\begin{aligned} dv_{3j}(\tau) &:= \frac{s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{P_{3j,2}(\tau)} \frac{d\tau}{\tau^{2/3}}, \quad dv_{3j+1}(\tau) := \frac{s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) \sqrt[3]{\tau}}{P_{3j+1,2}(\tau)} d\tau, \quad dv_{3j+2}(\tau) := \frac{s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) \sqrt[3]{\tau}}{P_{3j+2,2}(\tau)} d\tau, \\ dv_{3j,2}(\tau) &:= \frac{|h_{3j}(\sqrt[3]{\tau})|}{|\sqrt[3]{\tau} P_{3j}(\tau)|} s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) d\tau, \quad dv_{3j+1,2}(\tau) := \frac{|h_{3j+1}(\sqrt[3]{\tau})|}{|\tau P_{3j+1}(\tau)|} s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) d\tau, \quad dv_{3j+2,2}(\tau) := \frac{|h_{3j+2}(\sqrt[3]{\tau})|}{|\tau^{2/3} P_{3j+2}(\tau)|} s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) d\tau. \end{aligned} \quad (108)$$

**Proposition 5.3.** *The polynomials  $p_n$  and  $p_{n,2}$  are orthonormal polynomials with respect to the measures  $dv_n$  and  $dv_{n,2}$ , respectively. This is, for every  $n \geq 0$ ,*

$$1 = \int_0^{\alpha^3} p_n^2(\tau) dv_n(\tau), \quad 1 = \int_{-b^3}^{-a^3} p_{n,2}^2(\tau) dv_{n,2}(\tau),$$

$$0 = \int_0^{\alpha^3} \tau^j p_n(\tau) dv_n(\tau), \quad \text{for all } j < \deg p_n, \quad 0 = \int_{-b^3}^{-a^3} \tau^j p_{n,2}(\tau) dv_{n,2}(\tau), \quad \text{for all } j < \deg p_{n,2}.$$

*Proof.* It follows immediately from Propositions 5.1 and 5.2.  $\square$

Using (83)–(85), it is easy to check that the functions  $h_n$  have the following representations:

$$h_{3k}(z) = z^2 \int_0^{\alpha^3} \frac{p_{3k}^2(\tau)}{\tau - z^3} dv_{3k}(\tau), \quad h_{3k+1}(z) = z \int_0^{\alpha^3} \frac{p_{3k+1}^2(\tau)}{\tau - z^3} dv_{3k+1}(\tau), \quad h_{3k+2}(z) = z^3 \int_0^{\alpha^3} \frac{p_{3k+2}^2(\tau)}{\tau - z^3} dv_{3k+2}(\tau). \quad (109)$$

**Lemma 5.4.** *Assume that  $s_1 > 0$  a.e. on  $[0, \alpha]$ , and  $s_2 > 0$  a.e. on  $[-b, -a]$ . Then*

$$p_n^2(\tau) dv_n(\tau) \xrightarrow{*} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{(\alpha^3 - \tau)\tau}}, \quad \tau \in [0, \alpha^3], \quad (110)$$

$$p_{n,2}^2(\tau) dv_{n,2}(\tau) \xrightarrow{*} \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{(-a^3 - \tau)(\tau + b^3)}}, \quad \tau \in [-b^3, -a^3]. \quad (111)$$

Consequently, the following limits hold uniformly on closed subsets of  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus S_0$ :

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_{3k}(z) = -\frac{z^2}{\sqrt{(z^3 - \alpha^3)z^3}}, \quad (112)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_{3k+1}(z) = -\frac{z}{\sqrt{(z^3 - \alpha^3)z^3}}, \quad (113)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} h_{3k+2}(z) = -\frac{z^3}{\sqrt{(z^3 - \alpha^3)z^3}}, \quad (114)$$

where the branch of the square root is taken so that  $\sqrt{x} > 0$  for  $x > 0$ .

*Proof.* Let us define the measures

$$d\mu_{3k}(\tau) = \frac{s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{\tau^{2/3}} d\tau, \quad d\mu_{3k+1}(\tau) = d\mu_{3k+2}(\tau) = s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau})\sqrt[3]{\tau} d\tau.$$

According to [5, Definition 2], for each  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the system  $(\{d\mu_{3l+i}\}, \{P_{3l+i,2}\}, k)_{l \geq 1}$  is strongly admissible on  $[0, \alpha^3]$ . So by [5, Corollary 3],

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_0^{\alpha^3} f(\tau) p_{3l+i}^2(\tau) \frac{d\mu_{3l+i}(\tau)}{P_{3l+i,2}(\tau)} = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\alpha^3} f(\tau) \frac{d\tau}{\sqrt{(\alpha^3 - \tau)\tau}},$$

for every  $f$  continuous on  $[0, \alpha^3]$ . Since  $dv_{3l+i}(\tau) = d\mu_{3l+i}(\tau)/P_{3l+i,2}(\tau)$ , (110) follows. The formulas (112)–(114) are a consequence of (110) and (109).

Similarly, if we define the measures

$$d\lambda_{3k}(\tau) = \frac{|h_{3k}(\sqrt[3]{\tau})|}{|\sqrt[3]{\tau}|} s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) d\tau, \quad d\lambda_{3k+1}(\tau) = \frac{|h_{3k+1}(\sqrt[3]{\tau})|}{|\tau|} s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) d\tau, \quad d\lambda_{3k+2}(\tau) = \frac{|h_{3k+2}(\sqrt[3]{\tau})|}{|\tau^{2/3}|} s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) d\tau,$$

then for each  $i \in \{0, 1, 2\}$  and each  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , the system  $(\{d\lambda_{3l+i}\}, \{P_{3l+i}\}, k)$  is strongly admissible on  $[-b^3, -a^3]$ , and (111) follows as before.  $\square$

For each  $i \in \{0, \dots, 5\}$ , we consider the families of rational functions

$$\left\{ \frac{P_{6k+i+1}(z)}{P_{6k+i}(z)} \right\}_k, \quad \left\{ \frac{P_{6k+i+1,2}(z)}{P_{6k+i,2}(z)} \right\}_k. \quad (115)$$

By Theorem 1.4, these families are uniformly bounded on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \alpha^3]$  and  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-b^3, -a^3]$ , respectively. Therefore, by Montel's theorem there exists a sequence of integers  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{N}$  so that for each  $i \in \{0, \dots, 5\}$ ,

$$\lim_{k \in \Lambda} \frac{P_{6k+i+1}(z)}{P_{6k+i}(z)} = \widetilde{F}_1^{(i)}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \alpha^3], \quad (116)$$

$$\lim_{k \in \Lambda} \frac{P_{6k+i+1,2}(z)}{P_{6k+i,2}(z)} = \widetilde{F}_2^{(i)}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-a^3, -b^3], \quad (117)$$

where the limits hold uniformly on compact subsets of the indicated regions. Our goal is to show that we obtain the same limiting functions  $\widetilde{F}_j^{(i)}$ , no matter which convergent subsequences we take.

Taking into account the degree of  $P_n$  and  $P_{n,2}$ , from (116)–(117) we deduce:  $\widetilde{F}_1^{(i)}$  and  $1/\widetilde{F}_1^{(i)}$  are analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \alpha^3]$ ,  $\widetilde{F}_2^{(i)}$  and  $1/\widetilde{F}_2^{(i)}$  are analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-b^3, -a^3]$ , and as  $z \rightarrow \infty$ ,

$$\begin{cases} \widetilde{F}_1^{(i)}(z) = 1 + O(1/z), & i \in \{0, 1, 3, 4\}, \\ \widetilde{F}_1^{(i)}(z) = z + O(1), & i \in \{2, 5\}, \\ \widetilde{F}_2^{(i)}(z) = 1 + O(1/z), & i \in \{0, 1, 2\}, \\ \widetilde{F}_2^{(i)}(z) = z + O(1), & i \in \{3, 5\}, \\ \widetilde{F}_2^{(4)}(z) = 1/z + O(1/z^2). \end{cases} \quad (118)$$

Given a Borel measurable function  $w \geq 0$  defined on the interval  $[c, d]$  that satisfies the Szegő condition

$$\frac{\log w(t)}{\sqrt{(d-t)(t-c)}} \in L^1(dt),$$

let

$$S(w; z) := \exp \left\{ \frac{d-c}{4\pi} \sqrt{\left( \frac{2z-c-d}{d-c} \right)^2 - 1} \int_c^d \frac{\log w(t)}{t-z} \frac{dt}{\sqrt{(d-t)(t-c)}} \right\}$$

denote the Szegő function on  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus [c, d]$  associated with  $w$  (see [16]). In particular, if  $w$  is continuous at  $x \in [c, d]$  and  $w(x) > 0$ , then the limit

$$\lim_{z \rightarrow x} |S(w; z)|^2 = \frac{1}{w(x)} \quad (119)$$

holds. We will indicate this below by writing  $|S(w; x)|^2 w(x) = 1$ .

Throughout this section we are always assuming that  $s_1 > 0$  a.e. on  $[0, \alpha]$ , and  $s_2 > 0$  a.e. on  $[-b, -a]$ . If  $f_n \in H(\Omega)$ ,  $\Omega \subset \overline{\mathbb{C}}$ , the notation

$$\lim_{n \in \Lambda} f_n(z) = F(z), \quad z \in \Omega, \quad \widetilde{\Lambda} \subset \mathbb{N},$$

stands for the uniform convergence of  $f_n$  to  $F$  on each compact subset of  $\Omega$ .

By (102)–(103) we have:

$$0 = \int_0^{\alpha^3} \tau^j P_{6k}(\tau) d\nu_{6k}(\tau), \quad 0 \leq j \leq 2k-1,$$

$$0 = \int_0^{\alpha^3} \tau^j P_{6k+1}(\tau) g_{6k}(\tau) d\nu_{6k}(\tau), \quad 0 \leq j \leq 2k-1,$$

where  $g_{6k}(\tau) := \tau P_{6k,2}(\tau)/P_{6k+1,2}(\tau)$ . Using (117),

$$\lim_{k \in \Lambda} g_{6k}(\tau) = \frac{\tau}{\widetilde{F}_2^{(0)}(\tau)}, \quad \text{uniformly on } [0, \alpha^3].$$

Since  $\deg(P_{6k}) = \deg(P_{6k+1})$ , applying [5, Theorem 2] (result on relative asymptotics of polynomials orthogonal with respect to varying measures), we obtain

$$\lim_{k \in \Lambda} \frac{P_{6k+1}(z)}{P_{6k}(z)} = \frac{S_1^{(0)}(z)}{S_1^{(0)}(\infty)} = \widetilde{F}_1^{(0)}(z), \quad z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus [0, \alpha^3], \quad (120)$$

where  $S_1^{(0)}$  is the Szegő function on  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus [0, \alpha^3]$  associated with the weight  $\tau/\widetilde{F}_2^{(0)}(\tau)$ ,  $\tau \in [0, \alpha^3]$ .

By Proposition 5.2 we have:

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_0^{\alpha^3} \tau^j P_{6k+2}(\tau) d\nu_{6k+2}(\tau), \quad 0 \leq j \leq 2k-1, \\ 0 &= \int_0^{\alpha^3} \tau^j P_{6k+3}(\tau) g_{6k+2}(\tau) d\nu_{6k+2}(\tau), \quad 0 \leq j \leq 2k, \end{aligned}$$

where  $g_{6k+2}(\tau) := P_{6k+2,2}(\tau)/(\tau P_{6k+3,2}(\tau))$ . Let  $P_{6k+2}^*$  be the monic polynomial of degree  $2k$  orthogonal with respect to the measure  $d\nu_{6k+3}(\tau) = g_{6k+2}(\tau) d\nu_{6k+2}(\tau)$ . Since  $\deg(P_{6k+2}^*) = \deg(P_{6k+2})$ , again by [5, Theorem 2] we obtain

$$\lim_{k \in \Lambda} \frac{P_{6k+2}^*(z)}{P_{6k+2}^*(\infty)} = \frac{S_1^{(2)}(z)}{S_1^{(2)}(\infty)}, \quad z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus [0, \alpha^3],$$

where  $S_1^{(2)}$  is the Szegő function on  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus [0, \alpha^3]$  with respect to the weight  $1/(\tau \widetilde{F}_2^{(2)}(\tau))$ .

Let  $\phi_1$  denote the conformal mapping that maps  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus [0, \alpha^3]$  onto the exterior of the unit circle and satisfies  $\phi_1(\infty) = \infty$  and  $\phi_1'(\infty) > 0$ . Then, by [5, Theorem 1] (result on ratio asymptotics of polynomials orthogonal with respect to varying measures) we have

$$\lim_{k \in \Lambda} \frac{P_{6k+3}(z)}{P_{6k+2}^*(z)} = \frac{\phi_1(z)}{\phi_1'(\infty)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \alpha^3].$$

Therefore, we conclude that

$$\lim_{k \in \Lambda} \frac{P_{6k+3}(z)}{P_{6k+2}(z)} = \frac{S_1^{(2)}(z)}{S_1^{(2)}(\infty)} \frac{\phi_1(z)}{\phi_1'(\infty)} = \widetilde{F}_1^{(2)}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \alpha^3]. \quad (121)$$

The same arguments used before show that

$$\lim_{k \in \Lambda} \frac{P_{6k+i+1}(z)}{P_{6k+i}(z)} = \frac{S_1^{(i)}(z)}{S_1^{(i)}(\infty)} = \widetilde{F}_1^{(i)}(z), \quad z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus [0, \alpha^3], \quad i \in \{1, 3, 4\}, \quad (122)$$

$$\lim_{k \in \Lambda} \frac{P_{6k+6}(z)}{P_{6k+5}(z)} = \frac{S_1^{(5)}(z)}{S_1^{(5)}(\infty)} \frac{\phi_1(z)}{\phi_1'(\infty)} = \widetilde{F}_1^{(5)}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \alpha^3], \quad (123)$$

where  $S_1^{(1)}, S_1^{(3)}, S_1^{(4)}$ , and  $S_1^{(5)}$  are the Szegő functions on  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus [0, \alpha^3]$  with respect to the weights  $1/\widetilde{F}_2^{(1)}(\tau)$ ,  $\tau/\widetilde{F}_2^{(3)}(\tau)$ ,  $1/\widetilde{F}_2^{(4)}(\tau)$ , and  $1/(\tau \widetilde{F}_2^{(5)}(\tau))$ , respectively.

Applying now the orthogonality conditions from Proposition 5.1 and (112)–(114), we deduce:

$$\lim_{k \in \Lambda} \frac{P_{6k+i+1,2}(z)}{P_{6k+i,2}(z)} = \frac{S_2^{(i)}(z)}{S_2^{(i)}(\infty)} = \widetilde{F}_2^{(i)}(z), \quad z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus [-b^3, -a^3], \quad i \in \{0, 1, 2\}, \quad (124)$$

$$\lim_{k \in \Lambda} \frac{P_{6k+i+1,2}(z)}{P_{6k+i,2}(z)} = \frac{S_2^{(i)}(z)}{S_2^{(i)}(\infty)} \frac{\phi_2(z)}{\phi_2'(\infty)} = \widetilde{F}_2^{(i)}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-b^3, -a^3], \quad i \in \{3, 5\}, \quad (125)$$

$$\lim_{k \in \Lambda} \frac{P_{6k+5,2}(z)}{P_{6k+4,2}(z)} = \frac{S_2^{(4)}(\infty)}{S_2^{(4)}(z)} \frac{\phi_2'(\infty)}{\phi_2(z)} = \widetilde{F}_2^{(4)}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-b^3, -a^3], \quad (126)$$

where  $S_2^{(0)}, \dots, S_2^{(5)}$ , are the Szegő functions on  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus [-b^3, -a^3]$  associated with the weights

$$\frac{1}{|\tau \widetilde{F}_1^{(0)}(\tau)|}, \quad \frac{|\tau|}{|\widetilde{F}_1^{(1)}(\tau)|}, \quad \frac{1}{|\widetilde{F}_1^{(2)}(\tau)|}, \quad \frac{1}{|\tau \widetilde{F}_1^{(3)}(\tau)|}, \quad \frac{|\widetilde{F}_1^{(4)}(\tau)|}{|\tau|}, \quad \frac{1}{|\widetilde{F}_1^{(5)}(\tau)|},$$

respectively, and  $\phi_2$  is the conformal mapping that maps  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus [-b^3, -a^3]$  onto the exterior of the unit circle that satisfies the conditions  $\phi_2(\infty) = \infty$  and  $\phi_2'(\infty) > 0$ .

**Proposition 5.5.** *There exist positive constants  $c_k^{(l)}$  so that the functions  $F_k^{(l)} := c_k^{(l)} \widetilde{F}_k^{(l)}$  satisfy the following boundary value conditions:*

$$|F_1^{(l)}(\tau)|^2 \frac{\tau}{F_2^{(l)}(\tau)} = 1, \quad \tau \in (0, \alpha^3], \quad l = 0, 3, \quad (127)$$

$$|F_1^{(l)}(\tau)|^2 \frac{1}{F_2^{(l)}(\tau)} = 1, \quad \tau \in [0, \alpha^3], \quad l = 1, 4, \quad (128)$$

$$|F_1^{(l)}(\tau)|^2 \frac{1}{\tau F_2^{(l)}(\tau)} = 1, \quad \tau \in (0, \alpha^3], \quad l = 2, 5, \quad (129)$$

$$|F_2^{(l)}(\tau)|^2 \frac{1}{|\tau F_1^{(l)}(\tau)|} = 1, \quad \tau \in [-b^3, -a^3], \quad l = 0, 3, \quad (130)$$

$$|F_2^{(l)}(\tau)|^2 \frac{|\tau|}{|F_1^{(l)}(\tau)|} = 1, \quad \tau \in [-b^3, -a^3], \quad l = 1, 4, \quad (131)$$

$$|F_2^{(l)}(\tau)|^2 \frac{1}{|F_1^{(l)}(\tau)|} = 1, \quad \tau \in [-b^3, -a^3], \quad l = 2, 5. \quad (132)$$

*Proof.* It follows from the relations (120)–(126), the definition of the Szegő functions  $S_j^{(l)}$  and (119), that there exist positive constants  $\omega_1^{(l)}, \omega_2^{(l)}$ , such that

$$|\widetilde{F}_1^{(l)}(\tau)|^2 \frac{\tau}{\widetilde{F}_2^{(l)}(\tau)} = \frac{1}{\omega_1^{(l)}}, \quad \tau \in (0, \alpha^3], \quad l = 0, 3, \quad (133)$$

$$|\widetilde{F}_1^{(l)}(\tau)|^2 \frac{1}{\widetilde{F}_2^{(l)}(\tau)} = \frac{1}{\omega_1^{(l)}}, \quad \tau \in [0, \alpha^3], \quad l = 1, 4, \quad (134)$$

$$|\widetilde{F}_1^{(l)}(\tau)|^2 \frac{1}{\tau \widetilde{F}_2^{(l)}(\tau)} = \frac{1}{\omega_1^{(l)}}, \quad \tau \in (0, \alpha^3], \quad l = 2, 5, \quad (135)$$

$$|\widetilde{F}_2^{(l)}(\tau)|^2 \frac{1}{|\tau \widetilde{F}_1^{(l)}(\tau)|} = \frac{1}{\omega_2^{(l)}}, \quad \tau \in [-b^3, -a^3], \quad l = 0, 3, \quad (136)$$

$$|\widetilde{F}_2^{(l)}(\tau)|^2 \frac{|\tau|}{|\widetilde{F}_1^{(l)}(\tau)|} = \frac{1}{\omega_2^{(l)}}, \quad \tau \in [-b^3, -a^3], \quad l = 1, 4, \quad (137)$$

$$|\widetilde{F}_2^{(l)}(\tau)|^2 \frac{1}{|\widetilde{F}_1^{(l)}(\tau)|} = \frac{1}{\omega_2^{(l)}}, \quad \tau \in [-b^3, -a^3], \quad l = 2, 5, \quad (138)$$

where

$$\omega_1^{(l)} = (S_1^{(l)}(\infty))^2, \quad \text{for } l = 0, 1, 3, 4, \quad (139)$$

$$\omega_1^{(l)} = (S_1^{(l)}(\infty) \phi_1'(\infty))^2, \quad \text{for } l = 2, 5, \quad (140)$$

$$\omega_2^{(l)} = (S_2^{(l)}(\infty))^2, \quad \text{for } l = 0, 1, 2, \quad (141)$$

$$\omega_2^{(l)} = (S_2^{(l)}(\infty) \phi_2'(\infty))^2, \quad \text{for } l = 3, 5, \quad (142)$$

$$\omega_2^{(4)} = 1/(S_2^{(4)}(\infty) \phi_2'(\infty))^2. \quad (143)$$

The positive constants  $c_k^{(l)}$  that satisfy the requirements are  $c_1^{(l)} = [(\omega_1^{(l)})^2 \omega_2^{(l)}]^{1/3}$ ,  $c_2^{(l)} = [\omega_1^{(l)} (\omega_2^{(l)})^2]^{1/3}$ ,  $l = 0, \dots, 5$ .  $\square$

In order to prove the uniqueness of the limiting functions  $\widetilde{F}_j^{(i)}$ , we need to use Lemma 5.6 below. More general versions of this result can be found in [4] (see Lemma 4.1) and [1] (see Proposition 1.1), so we omit the proof.

Let us first introduce some notations. Assume that  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$  are disjoint compact intervals in  $\mathbb{R}$ , and let  $C(\Delta_i)$  denote the space of real-valued continuous functions on  $\Delta_i$ . We write  $\mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2)^t \in C$  if  $u_1 \in C(\Delta_2)$ ,  $u_2 \in C(\Delta_1)$ . Given  $u_1 \in C(\Delta_2)$ , let  $T_{2,1}(u_1)$  be the harmonic function in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta_2$  that solves the Dirichlet problem with boundary condition

$$T_{2,1}(u_1)(x) = u_1(x), \quad x \in \Delta_2,$$

and given  $u_2 \in C(\Delta_1)$ , let  $T_{1,2}(u_2)$  denote the harmonic function in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta_1$  that solves the Dirichlet problem with boundary condition

$$T_{1,2}(u_2)(x) = u_2(x), \quad x \in \Delta_1.$$

Consider the linear operator  $T : C \longrightarrow C$  defined as follows:

$$T = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & T_{1,2} \\ T_{2,1} & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

and  $I : C \longrightarrow C$  the identity operator. The auxiliary result is the following

**Lemma 5.6.** *If  $\mathbf{u} \in C$  and  $(2I - T)(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}$ , then  $\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{0}$ .*

Now we prove that the limiting functions do not depend on the sequence  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{N}$  for which (116)–(117) hold.

**Proposition 5.7.** *The limiting functions  $\widetilde{F}_j^{(i)}$  are unique for every  $j \in \{1, 2\}$  and  $i \in \{0, \dots, 5\}$ .*

*Proof.* For each fixed  $i \in \{0, \dots, 5\}$ , by Proposition 5.5 the functions  $\log |F_1^{(i)}|, \log |F_2^{(i)}|$  satisfy

$$\begin{cases} 2 \log |F_1^{(i)}(\tau)| - \log |F_2^{(i)}(\tau)| = \log |f_i(\tau)|, & \tau \in (0, \alpha^3], \\ -\log |F_1^{(i)}(\tau)| + 2 \log |F_2^{(i)}(\tau)| = \log |g_i(\tau)|, & \tau \in [-b^3, -a^3], \end{cases} \quad (144)$$

where  $f_i(\tau), g_i(\tau)$  equal  $1/\tau, 1$ , or  $\tau$ , depending on the value of  $i$ . Assume that the functions  $\widetilde{G}_1^{(i)}, \widetilde{G}_2^{(i)}$  satisfy

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{k \in \Lambda'} \frac{P_{6k+i+1}(z)}{P_{6k+i}(z)} &= \widetilde{G}_1^{(i)}(z), & z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \alpha^3], \\ \lim_{k \in \Lambda'} \frac{P_{6k+i+1,2}(z)}{P_{6k+i,2}(z)} &= \widetilde{G}_2^{(i)}(z), & z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-a^3, -b^3], \end{aligned}$$

for some other subsequence  $\Lambda' \subset \mathbb{N}$ . As before, we can find positive constants  $d_1^{(i)}, d_2^{(i)}$  so that the functions  $G_j^{(i)} := d_j^{(i)} \widetilde{G}_j^{(i)}$  satisfy the same system (144). If we define the functions

$$u_1 := \log |F_1^{(i)}| - \log |G_1^{(i)}|, \quad u_2 := \log |F_2^{(i)}| - \log |G_2^{(i)}|, \quad \mathbf{u} = (u_1, u_2)^t,$$

observe that  $u_1$  is harmonic in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus [0, \alpha^3]$ ,  $u_2$  is harmonic in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus [-b^3, -a^3]$ , and they are also bounded in the corresponding regions. Moreover,

$$\begin{cases} 2u_1(\tau) - u_2(\tau) = 0, & \tau \in (0, \alpha^3], \\ -u_1(\tau) + 2u_2(\tau) = 0, & \tau \in [-b^3, -a^3]. \end{cases} \quad (145)$$

Let  $\Delta_1 := [0, \alpha^3]$ ,  $\Delta_2 := [-b^3, -a^3]$ . From (145) and the (generalized) maximum-minimum principle for harmonic functions, we obtain that  $2u_1 - T_{1,2}(u_2) \equiv 0$  on  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta_1$  and  $2u_2 - T_{2,1}(u_1) \equiv 0$  on  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta_2$ . In particular,  $(2I - T)(\mathbf{u}) = \mathbf{0}$ , so by Lemma 5.6 we get  $u_1 = 0$  on  $\Delta_2$  and  $u_2 = 0$  on  $\Delta_1$ . Therefore  $T_{1,2}(u_2) \equiv 0$  on  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta_1$  and  $T_{2,1}(u_1) \equiv 0$  on  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta_2$ , implying that  $u_1 \equiv 0$  and  $u_2 \equiv 0$ . From  $|F_j^{(i)}| = |G_j^{(i)}|$  it easily follows that  $c_j^i = d_j^i$  and  $\widetilde{F}_j^{(i)} = \widetilde{G}_j^{(i)}$ .  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.5.** The existence of the limits (16)–(17) follows from the normality of the families (115) and Proposition 5.7. The polynomials  $P_n$  satisfy:

$$P_{3k}(z) = P_{3k+1}(z) + a_{3k}P_{3k-2}(z), \quad P_{3k+1}(z) = P_{3k+2}(z) + a_{3k+1}P_{3k-1}(z), \quad zP_{3k+2}(z) = P_{3k+3}(z) + a_{3k+2}P_{3k}(z),$$

and so (16) implies that the following limits hold:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{6k+i} = \widetilde{F}_1^{(i-2)}(z)\widetilde{F}_1^{(i-1)}(z)(1 - \widetilde{F}_1^{(i)}(z)), \quad i \in \{0, 1, 3, 4\}, \quad (146)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} a_{6k+i} = \widetilde{F}_1^{(i-2)}(z)\widetilde{F}_1^{(i-1)}(z)(z - \widetilde{F}_1^{(i)}(z)), \quad i \in \{2, 5\}, \quad (147)$$

where these relations are valid for every  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \alpha^3]$  ( $\widetilde{F}_1^{(-2)} = \widetilde{F}_1^{(4)}$ ,  $\widetilde{F}_1^{(-1)} = \widetilde{F}_1^{(5)}$ ).

We have:

$$\widetilde{F}_1^{(i-2)}(z)\widetilde{F}_1^{(i-1)}(z)(1 - \widetilde{F}_1^{(i)}(z)) = -C_1^{(i)} + O(1/z), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad i \in \{0, 1, 3, 4\},$$

$$\widetilde{F}_1^{(i-2)}(z)\widetilde{F}_1^{(i-1)}(z)(z - \widetilde{F}_1^{(i)}(z)) = -C_0^{(i)} + O(1/z), \quad z \rightarrow \infty, \quad i \in \{2, 5\},$$

and so (18) follows from (146)–(147). (20)–(22) is a direct consequence of (16)–(17).  $\square$

**Proposition 5.8.** Assume that the hypotheses of Theorem 1.5 hold. Then the polynomials  $p_n, p_{n,2}$  defined in (106) satisfy for each  $i \in \{0, \dots, 5\}$ :

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{6k+i+1}(z)}{p_{6k+i}(z)} = \kappa_1^{(i)} \widetilde{F}_1^{(i)}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \alpha^3], \quad (148)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{6k+i+1,2}(z)}{p_{6k+i,2}(z)} = \kappa_2^{(i)} \widetilde{F}_2^{(i)}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-b^3, -a^3], \quad (149)$$

uniformly on compact subsets of the indicated regions, where

$$\kappa_j^{(i)} = \sqrt{\omega_j^{(i)}}, \quad j = 1, 2,$$

and the constants  $\omega_j^{(i)}$  are defined in (139)–(143). Consequently, for the leading coefficients  $\kappa_n, \kappa_{n,2}$  defined in (105) we have:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\kappa_{6k+i+1}}{\kappa_{6k+i}} = \kappa_1^{(i)}, \quad (150)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\kappa_{6k+i+1,2}}{\kappa_{6k+i,2}} = \kappa_2^{(i)}. \quad (151)$$

In addition, the following limits hold uniformly on compact subsets of  $\mathbb{C} \setminus (S_0 \cup S_1)$ :

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Psi_{6k+i+1}(z)}{\Psi_{6k+i}(z)} = \frac{1}{\omega_1^{(i)}} \frac{\widetilde{F}_2^{(i)}(z^3)}{z^2 \widetilde{F}_1^{(i)}(z^3)}, \quad i = 0, 3, \quad (152)$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Psi_{6k+i+1}(z)}{\Psi_{6k+i}(z)} = \frac{1}{\omega_1^{(i)}} \frac{z \widetilde{F}_2^{(i)}(z^3)}{\widetilde{F}_1^{(i)}(z^3)}, \quad i = 1, 2, 4, 5. \quad (153)$$

*Proof.* Using the same argument employed before and Theorems 1 and 2 from [5], we obtain

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{6k+i+1}(z)}{p_{6k+i}(z)} = S_1^{(i)}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \alpha^3], \quad i = 0, 1, 3, 4,$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{6k+i+1}(z)}{p_{6k+i}(z)} = S_1^{(i)}(z) \phi_1(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \alpha^3], \quad i = 2, 5,$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{6k+i+1,2}(z)}{p_{6k+i,2}(z)} = S_2^{(i)}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-b^3, -a^3], \quad i = 0, 1, 2,$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{6k+i+1,2}(z)}{p_{6k+i,2}(z)} = S_2^{(i)}(z) \phi_2(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-b^3, -a^3], \quad i = 3, 5,$$

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{p_{6k+5,2}(z)}{p_{6k+4,2}(z)} = (S_2^{(4)}(z) \phi_2(z))^{-1}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [-b^3, -a^3],$$

so (148) and (149) follow. (150)–(151) are immediate consequences of (148)–(149).

Observe that by (97) we can write

$$\frac{\Psi_{n+1}}{\Psi_n} = \frac{\kappa_n^2}{\kappa_{n+1}^2} \frac{h_{n+1}}{h_n} \frac{Q_n}{Q_{n+1}} \frac{Q_{n+1,2}}{Q_{n,2}},$$

so (150)–(151) together with Lemma 5.4 and Theorem 1.5 imply (152)–(153).  $\square$

**Proof of Proposition 1.6.** We first show that  $a^{(i)} > 0$  for all  $i$ . If  $a^{(0)} = 0$ , then (146) implies  $\tilde{F}_1^{(0)} \equiv 1$ , and using (127) we obtain that  $\tilde{F}_2^{(0)}(z) = z$  on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [-b^3, -a^3]$ , contradicting (118). If  $a^{(1)} = 0$ , then again by (146) we get  $\tilde{F}_1^{(1)} \equiv 1$ , and so by (128) we have  $\tilde{F}_2^{(1)} \equiv 1$ , contradicting (131). If  $a^{(2)} = 0$ , then from (147) it follows that  $\tilde{F}_1^{(2)}(z) = z$  on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus [0, \alpha^3]$ , and so (129) implies that  $\tilde{F}_2^{(1)}(z) = z$ , which is impossible. Similar arguments show that  $a^{(i)} > 0$  for  $i \in \{3, 4, 5\}$ .

Now we prove simultaneously that  $\tilde{F}_1^{(2)}(z) = z \tilde{F}_1^{(0)}(z)$  and  $\tilde{F}_2^{(0)} = \tilde{F}_2^{(2)}$ . Let

$$u_1(z) := \log |F_1^{(2)}(z)| - \log |z F_1^{(0)}(z)|, \quad u_2(z) := \log |F_2^{(2)}(z)| - \log |F_2^{(0)}(z)|.$$

Then  $u_1$  is harmonic in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus [0, \alpha^3]$  and  $u_2$  is harmonic in  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus [-b^3, -a^3]$ . By (130) and (132) we see that  $u_2$  is bounded on  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus [-b^3, -a^3]$ . Taking into account the definitions of the functions  $S_1^{(0)}$  and  $S_1^{(2)}$ , the boundedness of  $u_1$  is equivalent to the boundedness of the expression

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Re \left[ \frac{e^{i\theta} + 1/\phi_1(z)}{e^{i\theta} - 1/\phi_1(z)} \right] \log(1 + \cos \theta) d\theta - \log |z|, \quad z \notin [0, \alpha^3],$$

which follows trivially from the identity

$$\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \Re \left[ \frac{e^{i\theta} + w}{e^{i\theta} - w} \right] \log |1 + e^{i\theta}| d\theta = \log |1 + w|, \quad |w| < 1.$$

Now Proposition 5.5 implies that  $2u_1(\tau) - u_2(\tau) = 0$  for  $\tau \in (0, \alpha^3]$ , and  $-u_1(\tau) + 2u_2(\tau) = 0$  for  $\tau \in [-b^3, -a^3]$ . As in the proof of Proposition 5.7, this yields  $u_1 \equiv 0$ ,  $u_2 \equiv 0$ . Similarly one proves that  $\tilde{F}_1^{(5)}(z) = z \tilde{F}_1^{(3)}(z)$  and  $\tilde{F}_2^{(5)} = \tilde{F}_2^{(3)}$ .

From (23), (18), and (19), it follows that  $a^{(0)} = a^{(2)}$  and  $a^{(3)} = a^{(5)}$ . We have by (146)–(147) that

$$\tilde{F}_1^{(0)}(z) \tilde{F}_1^{(1)}(z) (z - \tilde{F}_1^{(2)}) = a^{(2)}, \quad \tilde{F}_1^{(4)}(z) \tilde{F}_1^{(5)}(z) (1 - \tilde{F}_1^{(0)}) = a^{(0)}.$$

Since  $a^{(0)} = a^{(2)}$  and  $\tilde{F}_1^{(2)}(z) = z \tilde{F}_1^{(0)}(z)$ , we deduce that  $z \tilde{F}_1^{(0)} \tilde{F}_1^{(1)} = \tilde{F}_1^{(4)} \tilde{F}_1^{(5)}$ , or equivalently  $\tilde{F}_1^{(1)} \tilde{F}_1^{(2)} = \tilde{F}_1^{(4)} \tilde{F}_1^{(5)}$ . The other two relations in (24) follow immediately using this equality and (23).

The relations in (27) are an easy consequence of (24) and (127)–(129). Now, (25) is obtained by dividing appropriate relations from (146)–(147), one by another, and taking into account (24). The equality  $a^{(0)} + a^{(1)} = a^{(3)} + a^{(4)}$  follows immediately from  $\tilde{F}_1^{(0)} \tilde{F}_1^{(1)} = \tilde{F}_1^{(3)} \tilde{F}_1^{(4)}$ .

We next show that the functions  $\tilde{F}_1^{(i)}$ ,  $i \in \{0, \dots, 5\}$ , are all distinct. If  $i \in \{0, 1, 3, 4\}$ , then evidently  $\tilde{F}_1^{(i)} \neq \tilde{F}_1^{(2)}$  and  $\tilde{F}_1^{(i)} \neq \tilde{F}_1^{(5)}$ . If  $\tilde{F}_1^{(0)} = \tilde{F}_1^{(1)}$ , then (133) and (134) imply that

$$\frac{\tilde{F}_2^{(1)}(\tau)}{\tilde{F}_2^{(0)}(\tau)} = \frac{\omega_1^{(1)}}{\omega_1^{(0)}} \frac{1}{\tau}, \quad \tau \in (0, \alpha^3],$$

which is contradictory since  $\tilde{F}_2^{(1)}/\tilde{F}_2^{(0)}$  is holomorphic outside  $[-b^3, -a^3]$ . The same argument proves that  $\tilde{F}_1^{(0)} \neq \tilde{F}_1^{(4)}$ ,  $\tilde{F}_1^{(1)} \neq \tilde{F}_1^{(3)}$ , and  $\tilde{F}_1^{(3)} \neq \tilde{F}_1^{(4)}$ . If  $\tilde{F}_1^{(0)} = \tilde{F}_1^{(3)}$ , then (133) implies that  $\tilde{F}_2^{(0)} = \tilde{F}_2^{(3)}$ , which is impossible (cf. (118)). Similarly (using now (134) and (135)) we see that  $\tilde{F}_1^{(1)} \neq \tilde{F}_1^{(4)}$  and  $\tilde{F}_1^{(2)} \neq \tilde{F}_1^{(5)}$ .



Now we show that the functions  $\widetilde{F}_2^{(i)}$ ,  $i \in \{0, 1, 3, 4\}$ , are all different. If we assume that  $\widetilde{F}_2^{(0)} = \widetilde{F}_2^{(1)}$ , then (136)–(137) imply that

$$\frac{|\widetilde{F}_1^{(1)}(\tau)|}{|\widetilde{F}_1^{(0)}(\tau)|} = \frac{\omega_2^{(1)}}{\omega_2^{(0)}} \tau^2, \quad \tau \in [-b^3, -a^3].$$

It follows that  $\widetilde{F}_1^{(1)}(z) = z^2 \widetilde{F}_1^{(0)}(z)$ , which is impossible. The other cases are justified just by looking at the Laurent expansion at infinity.

By (25) we see that  $a^{(0)} \neq a^{(3)}$  and  $a^{(1)} \neq a^{(4)}$ . Now we show that  $a^{(1)} \neq a^{(3)}$ . Applying (146) for  $i = 0$  and the relation  $\widetilde{F}_1^{(1)} \widetilde{F}_1^{(2)} = \widetilde{F}_1^{(4)} \widetilde{F}_1^{(5)}$ , we get

$$\widetilde{F}_1^{(1)} \widetilde{F}_1^{(2)} (1 - \widetilde{F}_1^{(0)}) = a^{(0)}.$$

From this relation and (146) (for  $i = 4$ ), we obtain

$$\widetilde{F}_1^{(1)} (1 - \widetilde{F}_1^{(0)}) = \frac{a^{(0)}}{a^{(4)}} \widetilde{F}_1^{(3)} (1 - \widetilde{F}_1^{(4)}).$$

Applying the first two equations from (25), we derive that

$$\widetilde{F}_1^{(1)} (1 - \widetilde{F}_1^{(0)}) = \frac{a^{(3)}}{a^{(1)}} (1 - \widetilde{F}_1^{(1)}) (\widetilde{F}_1^{(0)} - 1) + \frac{a^{(0)}}{a^{(1)}} (1 - \widetilde{F}_1^{(1)}). \quad (154)$$

If we assume now that  $a^{(1)} = a^{(3)}$ , then (154) yields  $(1 - \widetilde{F}_1^{(0)})/(1 - \widetilde{F}_1^{(1)}) = a^{(0)}/a^{(1)}$ . But from (146) we know that

$$\frac{(1 - \widetilde{F}_1^{(0)}) \widetilde{F}_1^{(4)}}{(1 - \widetilde{F}_1^{(1)}) \widetilde{F}_1^{(0)}} = \frac{a^{(0)}}{a^{(1)}},$$

hence  $\widetilde{F}_1^{(4)} = \widetilde{F}_1^{(0)}$ , which is contradictory. Therefore  $a^{(1)} \neq a^{(3)}$ , and so by (28) we also obtain that  $a^{(0)} \neq a^{(4)}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 5.9.** *The following relations hold:*

$$\begin{aligned} \omega_1^{(0)} \omega_1^{(1)} &= \omega_1^{(3)} \omega_1^{(4)}, & \omega_1^{(0)} &= \omega_1^{(2)}, & \omega_1^{(3)} &= \omega_1^{(5)}, \\ \omega_2^{(0)} \omega_2^{(1)} &= \omega_2^{(3)} \omega_2^{(4)}, & \omega_2^{(0)} &= \omega_2^{(2)}, & \omega_2^{(3)} &= \omega_2^{(5)}. \end{aligned}$$

*Proof.* All these relations follow immediately from the relations established in Proposition 1.6 and the boundary value equations (133)–(135) and (136)–(138) (multiply or divide appropriately these equations, one by another).  $\square$

## 6. The Riemann surface representation of the limiting functions $\widetilde{F}_j^{(i)}$

We will give now the proof of Theorem 1.7. Before doing so, we need some definitions and comments. Let

$$G_1^{(i,j)} := \frac{F_1^{(i)}}{F_1^{(j)}}, \quad G_2^{(i,j)} := \frac{F_2^{(i)}}{F_2^{(j)}}, \quad 0 \leq i, j \leq 5.$$

Recall that the conformal representation  $\psi$  of  $\mathcal{R}$  onto  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$  satisfies (30)–(31). As a consequence, we have  $\psi(z) = \overline{\psi(\bar{z})}$ . This property implies in particular that

$$\psi_k : \overline{\mathbb{R}} \setminus (\Delta_k \cup \Delta_{k+1}) \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}, \quad k = 0, 1, 2, \quad \Delta_0 = \Delta_3 = \emptyset,$$

and

$$\psi_k(x_{\pm}) = \overline{\psi_k(x_{\mp})} = \overline{\psi_{k+1}(x_{\pm})}, \quad x \in \Delta_{k+1}. \quad (155)$$

So all the coefficients in the Laurent expansion at infinity of the branches  $\psi_k$  are real. Given a function  $F$  that satisfies

$$F(z) = C z^k + O(z^{k-1}), \quad C \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}, \quad z \rightarrow \infty,$$

we use the symbol  $\text{sign}(F(\infty))$  to denote the sign of  $C$  (i.e.  $\text{sign}(F(\infty)) = 1$  if  $C > 0$  and  $\text{sign}(F(\infty)) = -1$  if  $C < 0$ ).

The function  $\psi_0 \psi_1 \psi_2$  is analytic and bounded on  $\overline{\mathbb{C}}$ , so this function is constant. Let us denote this constant by  $C$  (we will reserve in this section the letter  $C$  for this constant). So we have

$$(\psi_0 \psi_1 \psi_2)(z) \equiv C, \quad (\widetilde{\psi}_0 \widetilde{\psi}_1 \widetilde{\psi}_2)(z) \equiv 1, \quad z \in \overline{\mathbb{C}}. \quad (156)$$

**Proposition 6.1.** *The following relations hold:*

$$G_1^{(0,3)}(z) = \frac{\text{sign}((\psi_1 \psi_2)(\infty)) (\psi_1 \psi_2)(z)}{|C|^{2/3}}, \quad G_2^{(0,3)}(z) = \frac{\text{sign}(\psi_2(\infty)) \psi_2(z)}{|C|^{1/3}}. \quad (157)$$

*Proof.* By (127) and (130) we have

$$|G_1^{(0,3)}(\tau)|^2 \frac{1}{G_2^{(0,3)}(\tau)} = 1, \quad \tau \in (0, \alpha^3], \quad (158)$$

$$|G_2^{(0,3)}(\tau)|^2 \frac{1}{|G_1^{(0,3)}(\tau)|} = 1, \quad \tau \in [-b^3, -a^3]. \quad (159)$$

Observe also that  $G_1^{(0,3)}$  and  $G_2^{(0,3)}$  are bounded on  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta_1$  and  $\overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus \Delta_2$ , respectively. Let us call  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  the functions on the right hand side of the relations (157), respectively. The function  $v_2$  is positive on  $\Delta_1 = [0, \alpha^3]$  since  $\text{sign}(v_2(\infty)) = 1$ . Using (155)–(156), for any  $x \in (0, \alpha^3)$ ,

$$\frac{|v_1(x_{\pm})|^2}{v_2(x)} = \frac{|\psi_1(x_{\pm})|^2 \psi_2(x)^2}{\text{sign}(\psi_2(\infty)) \psi_2(x) |C|} = \frac{|\psi_0(x_{\mp})| |\psi_1(x_{\pm})| |\psi_2(x)|}{|C|} = \frac{|\overline{\psi_0(x_{\pm})}| |\psi_1(x_{\pm})| |\psi_2(x)|}{|C|} = 1,$$

i.e.  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  satisfy (158) on  $(0, \alpha^3)$ . On the other hand, for  $x \in (-b^3, -a^3)$ ,

$$\frac{|v_2(x_{\pm})|^2}{|v_1(x)|} = \frac{|\psi_2(x_{\pm})|^2}{|\psi_1(x_{\pm})|} = 1,$$

so  $v_1$  and  $v_2$  also satisfy (159) on  $(-b^3, -a^3)$ . Finally, the same argument used to prove Proposition 5.7 yields the validity of (157).  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.7.** By Proposition 6.1 we have:

$$\frac{\widetilde{F}_1^{(4)}}{\widetilde{F}_1^{(1)}} = \frac{\widetilde{F}_1^{(0)}}{\widetilde{F}_1^{(3)}} = \widetilde{\psi}_1 \widetilde{\psi}_2 = 1/\widetilde{\psi}_0, \quad (160)$$

$$\frac{\widetilde{F}_2^{(0)}}{\widetilde{F}_2^{(3)}} = \widetilde{\psi}_2. \quad (161)$$

From the first relation in (25) and (160), simple algebraic manipulations show that

$$\widetilde{F}_1^{(0)} = \frac{a^{(0)} - a^{(3)}}{a^{(0)} \widetilde{\psi}_0 - a^{(3)}}, \quad \widetilde{F}_1^{(3)} = \frac{(a^{(0)} - a^{(3)}) \widetilde{\psi}_0}{a^{(0)} \widetilde{\psi}_0 - a^{(3)}}.$$

The representations of  $\widetilde{F}_1^{(2)}$  and  $\widetilde{F}_1^{(5)}$  follow immediately from the relations  $\widetilde{F}_1^{(2)}(z) = z \widetilde{F}_1^{(0)}(z)$  and  $\widetilde{F}_1^{(5)}(z) = z \widetilde{F}_1^{(3)}(z)$ . The relation  $\widetilde{F}_1^{(1)}/\widetilde{F}_1^{(4)} = \widetilde{\psi}_0$  and (25) prove the representations of  $\widetilde{F}_1^{(1)}$  and  $\widetilde{F}_1^{(4)}$ .

Recall that

$$z \Psi_n(z) = \Psi_{n+1} + a_n \Psi_{n-2}, \quad n \geq 2. \quad (162)$$

Therefore, if we define the functions

$$U^{(i)}(z) := \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\Psi_{6k+i+1}(z)}{\Psi_{6k+i}(z)}, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (S_0 \cup S_1), \quad 0 \leq i \leq 5,$$

(by Proposition 5.8 we know that such limits exist) then we know by (162) that

$$a^{(i)} = U^{(i-2)}(z)U^{(i-1)}(z)(z - U^{(i)}(z)), \quad 0 \leq i \leq 5,$$

where we understand that  $U^{(-2)} = U^{(4)}$ ,  $U^{(-1)} = U^{(5)}$ . In particular, applying (152) and (153) we obtain for  $i = 0, 1, 4, 5$ ,

$$a^{(0)} = \frac{1}{\omega_1^{(4)}\omega_1^{(5)}} \frac{\widetilde{F}_2^{(5)}(z)}{\widetilde{F}_1^{(5)}(z)} \frac{\widetilde{F}_2^{(4)}(z)}{\widetilde{F}_1^{(4)}(z)} \left( z - \frac{\widetilde{F}_2^{(0)}(z)}{\omega_1^{(0)}\widetilde{F}_1^{(0)}(z)} \right), \quad (163)$$

$$a^{(1)} = \frac{1}{\omega_1^{(0)}\omega_1^{(5)}} \frac{\widetilde{F}_2^{(0)}(z)}{\widetilde{F}_1^{(0)}(z)} \frac{\widetilde{F}_2^{(5)}(z)}{\widetilde{F}_1^{(5)}(z)} \left( 1 - \frac{\widetilde{F}_2^{(1)}(z)}{\omega_1^{(1)}\widetilde{F}_1^{(1)}(z)} \right), \quad (164)$$

$$a^{(4)} = \frac{1}{\omega_1^{(2)}\omega_1^{(3)}} \frac{\widetilde{F}_2^{(2)}(z)}{\widetilde{F}_1^{(2)}(z)} \frac{\widetilde{F}_2^{(3)}(z)}{\widetilde{F}_1^{(3)}(z)} \left( 1 - \frac{\widetilde{F}_2^{(4)}(z)}{\omega_1^{(4)}\widetilde{F}_1^{(4)}(z)} \right), \quad (165)$$

$$a^{(5)} = \frac{1}{\omega_1^{(3)}\omega_1^{(4)}} \frac{\widetilde{F}_2^{(3)}(z)}{\widetilde{F}_1^{(3)}(z)} \frac{\widetilde{F}_2^{(4)}(z)}{\widetilde{F}_1^{(4)}(z)} \left( z - \frac{\widetilde{F}_2^{(5)}(z)}{\omega_1^{(5)}\widetilde{F}_1^{(5)}(z)} \right), \quad (166)$$

where these identities are valid for every  $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus ([-b^3, -a^3] \cup [0, a^3])$ . If we apply the relations  $a^{(3)} = a^{(5)}$ ,  $\widetilde{F}_1^{(5)} = z\widetilde{F}_1^{(3)}$ ,  $\widetilde{F}_2^{(5)} = \widetilde{F}_2^{(3)}$ , from (163) and (166) we obtain

$$z \frac{a^{(0)}}{a^{(3)}} \left( 1 - \frac{1}{\omega_1^{(5)}} \frac{\widetilde{F}_2^{(3)}(z)}{\widetilde{F}_1^{(5)}(z)} \right) = \frac{\omega_1^{(3)}}{\omega_1^{(5)}} \left( z - \frac{\widetilde{F}_2^{(0)}(z)}{\omega_1^{(0)}\widetilde{F}_1^{(0)}(z)} \right).$$

Using (161) and substituting in this expression the functions  $\widetilde{F}_1^{(0)}$  and  $\widetilde{F}_1^{(5)}$  by their representations in terms of the branches  $\widetilde{\psi}_k$ , we get

$$z \left( \frac{a^{(0)}}{a^{(3)}} - \frac{\omega_1^{(3)}}{\omega_1^{(5)}} \right) = \frac{(a^{(0)}\widetilde{\psi}_0(z) - a^{(3)})}{(a^{(0)} - a^{(3)})} \left( \frac{a^{(0)}}{a^{(3)}\widetilde{\psi}_0(z)} - \frac{\omega_1^{(3)}\widetilde{\psi}_2(z)}{\omega_1^{(0)}} \right) \frac{\widetilde{F}_2^{(3)}(z)}{\omega_1^{(5)}}.$$

The factors in the right hand side of this equation never vanish on  $\mathbb{C} \setminus ([0, a^3] \cup [-b^3, -a^3])$ , and so we can write

$$\widetilde{F}_2^{(3)}(z) = \frac{z \left( \frac{a^{(0)}}{a^{(3)}} - \frac{\omega_1^{(3)}}{\omega_1^{(5)}} \right) \omega_1^{(5)} (a^{(0)} - a^{(3)})}{(a^{(0)}\widetilde{\psi}_0(z) - a^{(3)}) \left( \frac{a^{(0)}}{a^{(3)}\widetilde{\psi}_0(z)} - \frac{\omega_1^{(3)}\widetilde{\psi}_2(z)}{\omega_1^{(0)}} \right)}.$$

If we move  $z$  to the left hand side and evaluate at infinity we obtain

$$\omega_1^{(5)} \left( \frac{a^{(0)}}{a^{(3)}} - \frac{\omega_1^{(3)}}{\omega_1^{(5)}} \right) = \frac{a^{(0)}}{a^{(3)}}, \quad (167)$$

and so the Riemann surface representation for  $\widetilde{F}_2^{(3)}$  follows. This also proves the representation for the functions  $\widetilde{F}_2^{(5)}$ ,  $\widetilde{F}_2^{(0)}$ , and  $\widetilde{F}_2^{(2)}$ .

From (164) and (165) we derive the relation

$$\frac{a^{(1)}}{a^{(4)}} \left( 1 - \frac{\widetilde{F}_2^{(4)}}{\omega_1^{(4)}\widetilde{F}_1^{(4)}} \right) = \frac{\omega_1^{(2)}\omega_1^{(3)}}{\omega_1^{(0)}\omega_1^{(5)}} \left( 1 - \frac{\widetilde{F}_2^{(1)}}{\omega_1^{(1)}\widetilde{F}_1^{(1)}} \right).$$

From Corollary 5.9 we know that  $\omega_1^{(2)}\omega_1^{(3)} = \omega_1^{(5)}\omega_1^{(0)}$ . Since  $\widetilde{F}_2^{(4)}/\widetilde{F}_2^{(1)} = \widetilde{F}_2^{(0)}/\widetilde{F}_2^{(3)} = \widetilde{\psi}_2$  and  $\widetilde{F}_1^{(4)}/\widetilde{F}_1^{(1)} = 1/\widetilde{\psi}_0 = \widetilde{\psi}_1\widetilde{\psi}_2$ , we get

$$\frac{a^{(1)}}{a^{(4)}} - 1 = \frac{\widetilde{F}_2^{(4)}}{\widetilde{F}_1^{(4)}} \left( \frac{a^{(1)}}{a^{(4)}\omega_1^{(4)}} - \frac{\widetilde{\psi}_1}{\omega_1^{(1)}} \right).$$

Evaluating at infinity we obtain the relation

$$\omega_1^{(1)} = \frac{a^{(4)}}{a^{(4)} - a^{(1)}}, \quad (168)$$

and so we can write

$$\widetilde{F}_2^{(4)} = \frac{\widetilde{F}_1^{(4)}}{(\widetilde{\psi}_1 - (\omega_1^{(1)} - 1)/\omega_1^{(4)})}.$$

Therefore, the Riemann surface representation of  $\widetilde{F}_2^{(4)}$  follows from that of  $\widetilde{F}_1^{(4)}$  and the representation of  $\widetilde{F}_2^{(1)}$  follows from the relation  $\widetilde{F}_2^{(4)} = \widetilde{\psi}_2 \widetilde{F}_2^{(1)}$ .

Now from (167) and Corollary 5.9 we get

$$\omega_1^{(3)} = \omega_1^{(5)} = \frac{a^{(0)}}{a^{(0)} - a^{(3)}}. \quad (169)$$

If we evaluate both sides of the equation (166) at infinity we obtain

$$a^{(5)} = a^{(3)} = \frac{1}{\omega_1^{(3)} \omega_1^{(4)}} (1 - 1/\omega_1^{(3)}),$$

and so (169) gives  $\omega_1^{(4)} = (a^{(0)} - a^{(3)})/(a^{(0)})^2$ . Finally, from Corollary 5.9 and the above computations we deduce that  $\omega_1^{(0)} = \omega_1^{(2)} = (a^{(4)} - a^{(1)})/(a^{(0)} a^{(4)})$ .  $\square$

**Remark 6.2.** Since  $\omega_1^{(1)} > 0$ , it follows from (168) that  $a^{(4)} > a^{(1)}$ .

**Proof of Proposition 1.8.** It is straightforward to check that the function

$$\chi(z) = \psi\left(-\frac{a^3}{2}(1+z)\right) - \psi(\infty^{(0)}), \quad \infty^{(0)} \in \mathcal{R},$$

is a conformal representation of the Riemann surface  $\mathcal{S}$  constructed as  $\mathcal{R}$  (29) but formed by the sheets

$$\mathcal{S}_0 := \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus [-\mu, -1], \quad \mathcal{S}_1 := \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus ([-\mu, -1] \cup [1, \lambda]), \quad \mathcal{S}_2 := \overline{\mathbb{C}} \setminus [1, \lambda],$$

where  $\lambda$  and  $\mu$  are defined in (32).  $\chi$  also satisfies

$$\chi(z) = z + O(1), \quad z \rightarrow \infty^{(1)},$$

and has a simple zero at  $\infty^{(0)} \in \mathcal{S}$ . Observe that  $\chi(\infty^{(2)}) = -\psi(\infty^{(0)})$  (the reader is cautioned that in this relation,  $\infty^{(2)} \in \mathcal{S}$  and  $\infty^{(0)} \in \mathcal{R}$ ).

$\chi$  and  $\mathcal{S}$  are the type of conformal mappings and Riemann surfaces analyzed in [11]. It follows from [11, Theorem 3.1] that  $\chi(\infty^{(2)}) = 2/H(\beta)$ , where  $H$  and  $\beta$  are described in the statement of Proposition 1.8 (the uniqueness of  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  is justified in [11]). So  $\chi(z) = \psi(-a^3(1+z)/2) + 2/H(\beta)$ . It also follows from [11, Theorem 3.1] that the function  $w = H(\beta)\chi(z) - 1$  is the solution of the algebraic equation

$$w^3 - (H(\beta)z + \Theta_1 - \Theta_2 - h)w^2 - (1 + \Theta_1 + \Theta_2)w + H(\beta)z - h = 0,$$

where  $\Theta_1, \Theta_2$ , and  $h$  are the constants described in the statement of Proposition 1.8. Simple computations and a change of variable yield immediately that  $w = \psi(z)$  is the solution of the equation (33).  $\square$

## 7. The $n$ th root asymptotics and zero asymptotic distribution of the polynomials $Q_n$ and $Q_{n,2}$

It is well-known (see [14]) that if  $E \subset \mathbb{C}$  is a compact set that is regular with respect to the Dirichlet problem, and  $\phi$  is a continuous real-valued function on  $E$ , then there exists a unique  $\tilde{\mu} \in \mathcal{M}_1(E)$  satisfying the variational conditions

$$V^{\tilde{\mu}}(z) + \phi(z) \begin{cases} = w, & z \in \text{supp}(\tilde{\mu}), \\ \geq w, & z \in E, \end{cases}$$

for some constant  $w$ . The measure  $\tilde{\mu}$  is called the equilibrium measure in the presence of the external field  $\phi$  on  $E$ , and  $w$  the equilibrium constant.

Recall that we defined  $\lambda_1$  to be the positive, rotationally invariant measure on  $S_0$  whose restriction to the interval  $[0, \alpha]$  coincides with the measure  $s_1(x) dx$ , and we defined  $\lambda_2$  to be the positive, rotationally invariant measure on  $S_1$  whose restriction to the interval  $[-b, -a]$  coincides with the measure  $s_2(x) dx$ .

**Lemma 7.1.** *Suppose that  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in \mathbf{Reg}$ . Then the measures*

$$\frac{s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{\tau^{2/3}} d\tau, \quad s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) \sqrt[3]{\tau} d\tau, \quad \tau \in [0, \alpha^3], \quad (170)$$

$$s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) d\tau, \quad \frac{s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{\sqrt[3]{\tau}} d\tau, \quad \frac{s_2(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{\tau^{2/3}} d\tau, \quad \tau \in [-b^3, -a^3], \quad (171)$$

are also regular.

*Proof.* Let  $\pi_n$  be the  $n$ th monic orthogonal polynomial associated with  $\lambda_1$ , i.e.  $\pi_n$  is the monic polynomial of degree  $n$  that satisfies

$$\int_{S_0} \pi_n(t) \bar{t}^k d\lambda_1(t) = 0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n-1. \quad (172)$$

It is immediate to check that

$$\pi_n(e^{\frac{2\pi i}{3}} z) = e^{\frac{2\pi i n}{3}} \pi_n(z).$$

We deduce from this property and (172) that the polynomials

$$\pi_{3k}(\sqrt[3]{\tau}), \quad \frac{\pi_{3k+1}(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{\sqrt[3]{\tau}}, \quad \frac{\pi_{3k+2}(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{\tau^{2/3}},$$

are precisely the monic orthogonal polynomials of degree  $k$  associated, respectively, with the measures

$$\frac{s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{\tau^{2/3}} d\tau, \quad s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) d\tau, \quad s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) \tau^{2/3} d\tau. \quad (173)$$

We also have:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{S_0} |\pi_{3k}(t)|^2 d\lambda_1(t) &= \int_0^{\alpha^3} (\pi_{3k}(\sqrt[3]{\tau}))^2 \frac{s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{\tau^{2/3}} d\tau, \\ \int_{S_0} |\pi_{3k+1}(t)|^2 d\lambda_1(t) &= \int_0^{\alpha^3} \left( \frac{\pi_{3k+1}(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{\sqrt[3]{\tau}} \right)^2 s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) d\tau, \\ \int_{S_0} |\pi_{3k+2}(t)|^2 d\lambda_1(t) &= \int_0^{\alpha^3} \left( \frac{\pi_{3k+2}(\sqrt[3]{\tau})}{\tau^{2/3}} \right)^2 s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) \tau^{2/3} d\tau. \end{aligned}$$

So taking into account (see [13, Theorem 5.2.5]) that

$$\text{cap}(\text{supp}(\lambda_1)) = \text{cap}(\text{supp}(\rho))^{1/3},$$

where  $\text{cap}(A)$  denotes the logarithmic capacity of  $A$ , and  $\rho$  is any of the three measures in (173), the regularity of  $\lambda_1$  implies the regularity of the three measures in (173).

Let  $l_n$  denote the  $n$ th monic orthogonal polynomial associated with the measure  $d\rho_1(\tau) := s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) \sqrt[3]{\tau} d\tau$ , and let  $T_n$  be the  $n$ th Chebyshev polynomial (see [13], page 155) for the set  $E := \text{supp}(\rho_1)$ . We have

$$\left( \int l_n^2(\tau) d\rho_1(\tau) \right)^{1/2} \leq \left( \int T_n^2(\tau) d\rho_1(\tau) \right)^{1/2} \leq \|T_n\|_E \rho_1(E)^{1/2},$$

where  $\|T_n\|_E$  denotes the supremum norm of  $T_n$  on  $E$ , and so by [13, Corollary 5.5.5] we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|l_n\|_2^{1/n} \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n\|_E^{1/n} = \text{cap}(\text{supp}(\rho_1)). \quad (174)$$

If we call  $\tilde{l}_n$  the  $n$ th monic orthogonal polynomial associated with the measure  $d\rho_2(\tau) := s_1(\sqrt[3]{\tau}) \tau^{2/3} d\tau$ , we have

$$\left( \int \tilde{l}_n^2(\tau) d\rho_2(\tau) \right)^{1/2} \leq \alpha^{1/2} \left( \int l_n^2(\tau) d\rho_1(\tau) \right)^{1/2},$$

and so the regularity of  $\rho_2$  and (174) imply the regularity of  $\rho_1$ . Similar arguments show that the measures in (171) are regular.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 1.10.** Recall that if  $P$  is a polynomial, we indicate by  $\mu_P$  the associated normalized zero counting measure. Let  $j \in \{0, \dots, 5\}$  be fixed, and assume that for some subsequence  $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{N}$  we have:

$$\mu_{P_{6k+j}} \xrightarrow{*} \mu_1 \in \mathcal{M}_1(\Delta_1), \quad \mu_{P_{6k+j,2}} \xrightarrow{*} \mu_2 \in \mathcal{M}_1(\Delta_2).$$

Consequently,

$$\lim_{k \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{2k} \log |P_{6k+j}(z)| = -V^{\mu_1}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Delta_1, \quad (175)$$

$$\lim_{k \in \Lambda} \frac{1}{4k} \log |P_{6k+j,2}(z)| = -\frac{1}{4} V^{\mu_2}(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Delta_2, \quad (176)$$

uniformly on compact subsets of the indicated regions.

We know by Proposition 5.2 that there exists a fixed measure  $d\rho$  supported on  $\Delta_1$  ( $d\rho$  is one of the measures in (170)) such that

$$0 = \int_{\Delta_1} \tau^j P_{6k+j}(\tau) \frac{d\rho(\tau)}{P_{6k+j,2}(\tau)}, \quad 0 \leq j < \deg(P_{6k+j}). \quad (177)$$

We know by Lemma 7.1 that the measure  $d\rho$  is regular. If we apply [7, Lemma 4.2] (taking, in the notation of [7],  $d\sigma = d\rho$ ,  $\phi_{2k} = 1/P_{6k+j,2}$  and  $\phi = -(1/4)V^{\mu_2}$ ), we obtain from (176) and (177) that  $\mu_1$  is the equilibrium measure in the presence of the external field  $\phi = -(1/4)V^{\mu_2}$ , hence

$$V^{\mu_1}(\tau) - \frac{1}{4} V^{\mu_2}(\tau) \begin{cases} = w_1, & \tau \in \text{supp}(\mu_1), \\ \geq w_1, & \tau \in \Delta_1, \end{cases} \quad (178)$$

and

$$\lim_{k \in \Lambda} \left( \int_{\Delta_1} P_{6k+j}^2(\tau) dv_{6k+j}(\tau) \right)^{1/4k} = e^{-w_1}, \quad (179)$$

where the measure  $dv_{6k+j}$  is defined in (108).

By Proposition 5.1, there exists a fixed measure  $d\eta$  ( $d\eta$  is one of the measures in (171)) supported on  $\Delta_2$  such that

$$0 = \int_{\Delta_2} \tau^j P_{6k+j,2}(\tau) \frac{|h_{6k+j}(\sqrt[3]{\tau})|}{|P_{6k+j}(\tau)|} d\eta(\tau), \quad 0 \leq j < \deg(P_{6k+j,2}). \quad (180)$$

The function  $h_{6k+j}$  is defined in (107). We also know by Lemma 7.1 that  $d\eta$  is regular. Taking into account the representations (109) and the fact that  $p_n$  is orthonormal with respect to  $dv_n$  (see (106) and Proposition 5.3), it follows that there exist positive constants  $C_1, C_2$  such that

$$C_1 \leq |h_{6k+j}(\sqrt[3]{\tau})| \leq C_2 \quad \text{for all } \tau \in \Delta_2.$$

So applying again [7, Lemma 4.2] (now take  $d\sigma = d\eta$ ,  $\phi_k(\tau) = |h_{6k+j}(\sqrt[3]{\tau})|/|P_{6k+j}(\tau)|$  and  $\phi = -V^{\mu_1}$ ), we get from (180) and (175) that  $\mu_2$  is the equilibrium measure in the presence of the external field  $\phi = -V^{\mu_1}$ , and so

$$V^{\mu_2}(\tau) - V^{\mu_1}(\tau) \begin{cases} = w_2, & \tau \in \text{supp}(\mu_2), \\ \geq w_2, & \tau \in \Delta_2, \end{cases} \quad (181)$$

and

$$\lim_{k \in \Lambda} \left( \int_{\Delta_2} P_{6k+j,2}^2(\tau) d\nu_{6k+j,2}(\tau) \right)^{1/2k} = e^{-w_2}, \quad (182)$$

where the measure  $d\nu_{6k+j,2}$  is defined in (108).

By (178) and (181), the vector measure  $(\mu_1, \mu_2)$  solves the equilibrium problem determined by the interaction matrix (35) on the intervals  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2$ . Since the solution to this equilibrium problem must be unique, (34) follows. (179) and (182) imply (38)–(39). Finally, (36)–(37) are an immediate consequence of (34).  $\square$

**Proof of Proposition 1.12.** By Theorem 1.5 we know that the following limit holds:

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{Q_{6(k+1)}(z)}{Q_{6k}(z)} = \prod_{i=0}^5 \widetilde{F}_1^{(i)}(z^3), \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S_0.$$

Therefore we obtain that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} |Q_{6k}(z)|^{1/k} = \prod_{i=0}^5 |\widetilde{F}_1^{(i)}(z^3)|, \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S_0,$$

and by Corollary 1.11 it follows that

$$e^{-\frac{1}{3} V^{\mu_1}(z^3)} = \prod_{i=0}^5 |\widetilde{F}_1^{(i)}(z^3)|^{1/6} \quad z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus S_0.$$

So (40) is proved. The same argument proves (41).  $\square$

**Acknowledgments.** The results of this paper are part of my Ph.D. dissertation at Vanderbilt University. I am thankful to my advisor Edward B. Saff for the many useful discussions we had concerning this work. This research was partially supported by the U.S. National Science Foundation grant DMS-0808093. I am also thankful to the Belgian Interuniversity Attraction Pole (grant P06/02) and the Fonds voor Wetenschappelijk Onderzoek (FWO) for financing my postdoctoral studies at Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, where the writing of this paper was completed.

## References

- [1] A.I. Aptekarev, *Strong asymptotics of multiply orthogonal polynomials for Nikishin systems*, Sbornik: Math. 190:5 (1999), 631–669.
- [2] A.I. Aptekarev, V.A. Kalyagin, and E.B. Saff, *Higher order three-term recurrences and asymptotics of multiple orthogonal polynomials*, Constr. Approx. 30 (2009), 175–223.
- [3] A.I. Aptekarev, V.A. Kalyagin, and J. Van Iseghem, *The genetic sum's representation for the moments of a system of Stieltjes functions and its applications*, Constr. Approx. 16 (2000), 487–524.
- [4] A.I. Aptekarev, G. López Lagomasino, and I.A. Rocha, *Ratio asymptotics of Hermite-Padé polynomials for Nikishin systems*, Sbornik: Math. 196:8 (2005), 1089–1107.
- [5] D. Barrios Rolanía, B. de la Calle Ysern, and G. López Lagomasino, *Ratio and relative asymptotics of polynomials orthogonal with respect to varying Denisov-type measures*, J. Approx. Theory 139 (2006), 223–256.
- [6] M. Eiermann and R.S. Varga, *Zeros and local extreme points of Faber polynomials associated with hypocycloidal domains*, ETNA 1 (1993), 49–71.
- [7] U. Fidalgo Prieto, A. López García, G. López Lagomasino, V.N. Sorokin, *Mixed type multiple orthogonal polynomials for two Nikishin systems*, Constr. Approx. 32 (2010), 255–306.
- [8] U. Fidalgo Prieto and G. López Lagomasino, *On perfect Nikishin systems*, Comput. Methods Funct. Theory 2 (2002), 415–426.
- [9] M.X. He and E.B. Saff, *The zeros of Faber polynomials for an  $m$ -cusped hypocycloid*, J. Approx. Theory 78 (1994), 410–432.
- [10] M.G. Krein and A.A. Nudelman, *The Markov Moment Problem and Extremal Problems*, Transl. of Math. Monographs 50, AMS, Providence, Rhode Island, 1977.
- [11] G. López Lagomasino, D. Pestana, J.M. Rodríguez, and D. Yakubovich, *Computation of conformal representations of compact Riemann surfaces*, Math. Comp. 79 (2010), 365–382.
- [12] E.M. Nikishin and V.N. Sorokin, *Rational Approximations and Orthogonality*, Transl. of Math. Monographs Vol. 92, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1991.
- [13] T. Ransford, *Potential theory in the complex plane*, London Mathematical Society Student Texts 28, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [14] E.B. Saff and V. Totik, *Logarithmic Potentials with External Fields*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, Vol. 316, Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- [15] H. Stahl and V. Totik, *General Orthogonal Polynomials*, Enc. Math. Vol. 43, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1992.
- [16] G. Szegő, *Orthogonal Polynomials*, AMS Colloquium Publications vol. 23, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1939.